



City of Stirling

Community and Resources Committee

26 November 2024

Minutes

To: The Mayor and Councillors

Here within the Minutes of the Community and Resources Committee meeting of the City of Stirling held Tuesday 26 November 2024 in the City of Stirling Parmelia Room, 25 Cedric Street, Stirling.

Stevan Rodic | Chief Executive Officer

Our Vision, Mission and Values

Vision

A sustainable City with a local focus.

Mission

To serve our community by delivering efficient, responsive and sustainable service.

Values

The City of Stirling's core values are:

- Approachable
- Responsive
- Transparent
- Innovative.

Disclaimer

Members of the public should note that in any discussion regarding any planning or other application that any statement or intimation of approval made by any member or officer of the City during the course of any meeting is not intended to be and is not to be taken as notice of approval from the City. No action should be taken on any item discussed at a Council meeting prior to written advice on the resolution of the Council being received.

Any plans or documents contained in this document may be subject to copyright law provisions (*Copyright Act 1998*, as amended) and the express permission of the copyright owner(s) should be sought prior to the reproduction.




TABLE OF CONTENTS

ITEM	SUBJECT	PAGE
1.	OFFICIAL OPENING	5
2.	ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES.....	5
3.	APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE.....	7
4.	DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST.....	7
5.	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	8
6.	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER.....	8
7.	COMMUNITY AND RESOURCES COMMITTEE ITEMS.....	9
	GOVERNANCE	
	GOV1 PROPOSED CAT LOCAL LAW 2025.....	9
	PARKS AND ENVIRONMENT	
P1	HAMER PARK DOG PARK EXTENSION, MOUNT LAWLEY	77
P2	PROPOSED INGLEWOOD PARKLAND PROJECT	83
	FINANCE	
F1	SCHEDULE OF ACCOUNTS FOR PERIOD 4 ENDING 31 OCTOBER 2024.....	118
F2	MONTHLY STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR THE MONTH ENDING 31 OCTOBER 2024.....	122
F3	FUTURE BUDGET EFFICIENCIES.....	131
F4	DOUBLEVIEW/SCARBOROUGH//INNALOO TARGETED UNDERGROUND POWER PROJECT	141
	TENDERS	

TE1	50067 MAIN STREET, HUTTON STREET, ROYAL STREET INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENT	151
-----	--	-----

MATTERS BEHIND CLOSED DOORS

TE2	50303 LANDSCAPE SERVICES	157
-----	--------------------------------	-----

TE3	50362 LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE SERVICES	165
-----	--	-----

TE4	50152 - PANEL BEATING, PANEL PAINTING, PANEL REPAIR AND RECOVERY SERVICES	171
-----	---	-----

TE5	49589 TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SERVICES.....	177
-----	--	-----

TE6	VP428451: KERBSIDE WASTE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING SERVICES.....	184
-----	--	-----

TE7	49790 - CONTROL OF WEEDS AND PESTS WITHIN TURFED RESERVES AND CONSERVATION AREAS	190
-----	--	-----

8.1	HERTHA RESERVE LANDFILL SITE - DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION BRIEF	200
-----	--	-----

GOVERNANCE

GOV2	PROPOSED SHOPPING TROLLEYS LOCAL LAW 2025.....	202
------	--	-----

8.	MEMORANDUM OF OUTSTANDING BUSINESS	215
----	--	-----

9.	CLOSURE	219
----	---------------	-----

**MINUTES OF THE COMMUNITY AND RESOURCES COMMITTEE MEETING OF TUESDAY 26 NOVEMBER 2024 HELD IN
CITY OF STIRLING PARMELIA ROOM, 25 CEDRIC STREET, STIRLING**

1. OFFICIAL OPENING

The Presiding Member declared the Community and Resources Committee meeting open at 6.00pm.

2. ATTENDANCE AND APOLOGIES

ATTENDANCE

Presiding Member Councillor Karlo Perkov

Deputy Presiding Member Councillor Teresa Olow

Members Mayor Mark Irwin
Councillor Michael Dudek
Councillor Joe Ferrante
Councillor Damien Giudici (deputy Committee Member for Councillor David Lagan)
Councillor Tony Krsticevic
Councillor Stephanie Proud JP (deputy Committee Member for Councillor Elizabeth Re)

Observers Councillor Chris Hatton
Councillor Suzanne Migdale (until 8.09pm)
Councillor Rob Paparde
Councillor Lisa Thornton (from 6.21pm)

Employees

Chief Executive Officer - Stevan Rodic
Director Community Development - Michael Quirk
Director Corporate Services - Ingrid Hawkins
Director Infrastructure - Andrew Murphy
Director Planning and Development - Amanda Sheers
Manager City Future - Andrew Lefort
Manager Community Safety - Peter Mullins
Manager Finance - Dan Richards
Manager Parks and Environment - Ian Hunter
Manager Waste and Fleet - Yvette Plimbley
Acting Manager Engineering Services - Gary Davis
Acting Manager Governance - Jackson Mawby
Head of Community Engagement - James Murphy
Chief Technology Officer - Miriam Sanchez-Blanco
Service Lead Council & Civic - Candice D'Castro
Service Lead Parks and Streetscapes - Dion Johnson
Service Lead Transport - Frank Strever
Lead Governance Officer - Regan Clyde
Senior Governance Officer - Daniel Govus
Strategic Projects Officer - Madison Rea

Public

2

Press

0

APOLOGIES

Councillor David Lagan
Councillor Elizabeth Re

3. APPROVED LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Nil.

4. DISCLOSURES OF INTEREST

Where a member has disclosed a financial or proximity interest in an item, they must leave the room for consideration of that item.

Where a member has disclosed an impartiality interest in an item, they may remain in the room. The member is required to bring an independent mind to the item and decide impartially on behalf of the City of Stirling and its community.

Mayor Mark Irwin disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as he has had repairs done at two of the companies who tendered.

Councillor Chris Hatton disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as the directors of S & A Smash Repairs are related through family.

Councillor Tony Krsticevic disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as his daughter worked for S & A Smash Repairs a number of years ago. Directors of the company also attended some fundraising events when he was an Member of the Legislative Assembly prior to March 2021.

Councillor Karlo Perkovic disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as the directors of a tenderer are known to him.

Councillor Michael Dudek disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE6 as the Chair of the Resource Recovery Group, Councillor Karen Wheatland, is a fellow State Councillor on WALGA.

5. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

Moved Councillor Krsticevic, seconded Councillor Olow

That the Minutes of the Community and Resources Committee of 22 October 2024 be confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

6. ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE PRESIDING MEMBER

Nil.

7. COMMUNITY AND RESOURCES COMMITTEE ITEMS

GOVERNANCE

Councillor Lisa Thornton arrived at the meeting at 6.21pm during consideration of Item GOV1.

S. Wheeler addressed the Committee, and spoke against Item GOV1.

GOV1 PROPOSED CAT LOCAL LAW 2025

Business Unit:	Governance	Service: Compliance, Risk & Information Management
Ward:	Not Applicable	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Legislative - *Making local laws, policies and planning instruments.*

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Proud

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

1. That the City gives NOTICE of the proposed Cat Local Law 2025, as shown in Attachment 1, in accordance with *the Local Government Act 1995*.
2. That the Minister for Local Government be PROVIDED with a copy of the proposed Cat Local Law 2025.
3. Following advertising, that public submissions be PRESENTED to a future Council meeting for consideration.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (7/1).

For: Councillors Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Councillor Dudek.

Recommendation

1. That the City gives NOTICE of the proposed Cat Local Law 2025, as shown in Attachment 1, in accordance with *the Local Government Act 1995*.
2. That the Minister for Local Government be PROVIDED with a copy of the proposed Cat Local Law 2025.
3. Following advertising, that public submissions be PRESENTED to a future Council meeting for consideration

Purpose

To seek Council's approval to advertise the proposed Cat Local Law 2025 and invite public submissions. The purpose and effect of the proposed Local Law is included in sections 1.4 and 1.5 of the proposed Local Law as follows:

Purpose: The purpose of the proposed local law is to provide the City of Stirling with sufficient measures, in accordance with the *Cat Act 2011 (WA)*, to control the keeping of cats and protect native fauna and flora across the district.

Effect: The effect of the proposed local law is the ability to control the number of cats that can be kept and provide defined areas where cats are prohibited.

Details

Legislation is required to be reviewed from time to time to ensure it reflects current practice and anticipates future needs.

The drafting of the proposed Cat Local Law 2025 has been completed, guided by the following themes:

- Consider the appropriateness of the number of cat-prohibited areas to provide increased protection of flora and fauna within natural areas from cats.
- Review the requirements for permits for the number of cats per property.
- The potential removal of permits to identify cat owners.
- Ensuring alignment with the *Cat Act 2011 (WA)*.

The City has considered the feedback received by the City through the various engagement activities and statutory public consultation undertaken including:

- Local Laws in Focus – Control of Cats Local Law (March to July 2023).
- Statutory Public Consultation - (January 2024).
- Cat Local Law Briefing Session - (February 2024).
- Electors' General Meeting motions and questions (2022 and 2023).

As a high-level summary, the following amendments have been included in the City's new proposed Cat Local Law 2025.

- An additional 30 reserves have been included as cat prohibited areas bringing the total number of cat prohibited areas within the City of Stirling to 41. A set of maps have been included in the local law to visually represent all Cat Prohibited Areas.
- Introduced a new requirement that every cat located within a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone (which is any land extending 200m from the boundary of a Cat Prohibited area) must apply and be issued a permit to have a cat in one of these zones. If a permit is issued it will be subject to a set of conditions that are permissible under the local law.
- Removal of unnecessary requirements for identifying cats and other clauses that are now superseded by the *Cat Act 2011* (WA) and associated regulations.

The City's position has been the State Government *Cat Act 2011* (WA) is the most effective legislative instrument to provide for the control and management of cats, and to promote and encourage the responsible ownership of cats. Based on previous advice from the Minister of Local Government it is understood that a statutory review of the Act is due to commence as soon as practicable after 2024. Through this Local Law Review process, the City has proposed amendments, in accordance with what is permitted to be introduced in a local law and strengthened provisions to protect fauna and flora in natural areas.

The City is aware that at WALGA's recent AGM meeting it considered a motion for WALGA to request that the State Government make changes to the *Cat Act 2011*, to allow Local Governments to make local laws to contain cats to the owner's property.

The Secretariat comment was that, *"although not currently achievable, the intent of this Motion can be considered upon successful advocacy for a review of the Cat Act 2011 and provision of the necessary heads of power that allow Cat Local Laws to prevent cats from wandering."* Whilst the City's position remains that the most effective method to effect change regarding the control of nuisance/wandering cats in public places is through the next statutory review of the *Cat Act 2011* (WA), the City will monitor WALGA's advocacy efforts with interest and continue to advocate for State based legislation.

A proposed Local Law, encompassing changes guided by the themes, is shown in Attachment 1.

The Local Law Making Process

Proposed Local laws are made in accordance with section 3.12 of the *Local Government Act 1995*. To progress the proposed local law, the City is required to:

- Give local public notice stating:
 - a) It proposes to make the Cat Local Law 2025;
 - b) A summary of its purpose and effect; and
 - c) Where and when a copy of the proposed local law can be inspected.
- As soon as the notice is given, provide the Minister for Local Government with a copy of the proposed local law.
- Provide a copy of the proposed local law to any person requesting it. Local public notice is given in accordance with section 1.7 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, and clause 3A of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.

Financial Assessment and Implications

The City will incur costs in advertising the review and engaging with the community. These costs are provided for within the 2024/2025 Annual Budget.

Stakeholder Engagement

If Council proceeds with the recommendation contained in this report, local public notice will be given summarising the draft Local Law, and submissions from members of the public may be made. Advertising will occur following the Christmas and New Year's holiday period. Consultation will continue for a minimum of six weeks. A copy of the draft Local Law will be provided to any person who requests it and will be made available on the City's Shaping Your City page. The comments will be presented to Council for consideration at a future meeting.

Recommended Action

A review of the City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999 has been completed. Based upon information obtained and reviewed through the Local Law review process, it is evident that domestic cats are having a negative impact on flora and fauna across the district particularly within natural areas. This is the basis for the number of cat prohibited areas being significantly increased and for a permit requirement being introduced within fauna protection buffer zones through the proposed Cat Local Law 2025.

While it is understood that some within the community seek cat containment by requiring cats to not be in a public place unless under effective control, the City maintains the position that the next statutory review of the State Government *Cat Act 2011* is the most effective method to effect change regarding the control of nuisance/wandering cats in all public places. This statutory review of the Act must also consider strategies, in collaboration with Local Government, to increase the rates of cat registration / microchipping / sterilisation across Western Australia as well as increasing the capacity and capabilities of cat welfare organisations to effectively manage the expected increase in lost / unregistered / unwanted cats.

Based on this review, an updated Local Law is proposed (as shown in Attachment 1). If Council proceeds with the recommendation, it will be advertised, and members of the public can provide submissions to the City. The comments will be presented to a future Council meeting for consideration.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
9 May 2023	0523/010	1. That the Mayor WRITES to the Minister for Local Government requesting that the State Government prioritise amendments to the Cat Act 2011. 2. Council INVESTIGATES the process to amend existing local cat laws to expand cat prohibited areas to all parks, bushland and foreshore areas within the City of Stirling. 3. That Council CONSIDERS the allocation of funding in the 2023/24 budget for increased cat trapping within bushland areas as well as a microchipping/sterilisation program. That Council NOTES Elector Motion/s and that analysis of key considerations and issues has commenced to directly inform reviews in 2024 of both the State Government Cat Act 2011 and the City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999.
30 April 2024	0424/016	1. That the minutes of the Electors' General Meeting 2022/2023 (as shown in Attachment 2) held Monday 18 March 2024, be RECEIVED. 2. That Council PROCEEDS with the recommendations as outlined in Attachment 1, with the exception of Elector Motion 9.12/5, which is to be considered separately. Details of the Electors' General Meeting motions relevant to the Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law Review:

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
		- That Council NOTES Elector Motion 9.9/1 and NOTES the outcomes of the Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law Review will be presented for consideration in June 2024. - That Council NOTES Elector Motion 9.9/2 and takes no further action in response to this motion. - That Council NOTES Elector Motion 9.9/3 and takes no further action in response to this motion. - That Council NOTES Elector Motion 9.9/4 and NOTES the outcomes of the Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law Review will be presented for consideration in June 2024.
25 June 2024	0624/018	- That Council RECEIVES the outcomes of public consultation on the below local laws: - City of Stirling Beekeeping Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Dogs Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Fencing Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Health Local Law 2009; and - City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999. - That Council SUPPORTS the amendment of the below local laws: - City of Stirling Beekeeping Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Dogs Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Fencing Local Law 2008; and - City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999.

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
		3. That Council PROGRESSES the legislative local law-making process for the City of Stirling Parking Amendment Local Law and the proposed City of Stirling Streets and Public Places Local Law. 4. That Council APPROVES for the City to commence the legislative local law-making process for a new City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law. 5. That Council NOTES the progress in drafting the proposed Protection of Large Trees Local Law.

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A well-governed City

Priority: Comply with legislation, standards and obligations

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Governance	The City will act with integrity and implement appropriate processes and controls to avoid breach of legislation.
Environment	The City will prioritise protection, enhancement and sustainability of the natural environment unless this cannot be achieved without significantly compromising the City's economic or social sustainability.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Proposed City of Stirling Cat Local Law 2025 [↓](#)

Attachment 2 - Cat Local Law Briefing Session Feedback [↓](#)

Attachment 3 - Local Laws in Focus – Control of Cats Local Law Responses [↓](#)

Linked Documents

Nil.

City of Stirling

CAT LOCAL LAW 2025

DRAFT

ME_222363454_11

Part 1 - PRELIMINARY	4
Citation	4
Commencement	4
Repeal	4
Purpose and Effect	4
Definitions	4
Application	5
Part 2 - CAT CONTROL	6
Cats not to be a nuisance	6
Cat Prohibited Areas	6
Part 3 - PERMITS FOR KEEPING CATS	6
Interpretation	6
Prescribed premises	6
Standard number of cats	6
Cats for which a permit is required	7
Application for permit	7
Refusal to determine application	7
Factors relevant to determination of application	7
Decision on application	8
Conditions	8
No contravention of condition	10
Cat control notice may be given to cat owner following complaint	10
Duration of permit	10
Renewal of permit	10
Variation or cancellation of permit	11
Revocation	11
Permit not transferable	11
Permit to be kept at the premises and available for view	11
Part 4 - REMOVAL AND IMPOUNDING OF CATS	11
Register	11
No destruction of container for cats	12
Part 5 - FEES, CHARGES AND COSTS	12
Fees, Charges and Costs	12
Part 6 - DESTRUCTION OF CATS	12
Request to destroy cat	12
Part 7 - OFFENCES, DEFENCE AND PENALTIES	13

Offences and penalty provisions	13
Modified penalties	13
Serving infringement notices	13
Schedule 1	14
Cat Prohibited Areas	14
Schedule 2	50
Modified Penalties	50

DRAFT

CAT LOCAL LAW 2025

PART 1 - PRELIMINARY

Citation

- 1.1 This local law may be cited as the *City of Stirling Cat Local Law 2025*.

Commencement

- 1.2 This local law comes into operation fourteen (14) days after the date of its publication in the Government Gazette.

Repeal

- 1.3 This local law repeals the *City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999* as published in the *Government Gazette* on 12 January 2000 and as amended and published in the *Government Gazette* on 13 November 2001.

Purpose and Effect

- 1.4 The purpose of the proposed local law is to provide the City of Stirling with sufficient measures, in accordance with the *Cat Act 2011* (WA), to control the keeping of cats and protect native fauna and flora across the district.
- 1.5 The effect of the proposed local law is the ability to control the number of cats that can be kept and provide defined areas where cats are prohibited.

Definitions

- 1.6 In this local law unless the context otherwise requires -

"Act" means the *Cat Act 2011* (WA);

"applicant" means the occupier of premises who makes an application for a permit under this local law;

"approval" means approval under regulation 9 of the *Cat (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 2013* and Part 3 of this local law;

"authorised person" means a person authorised by the City under section 9.10 of the *Local Government Act 1995* to perform any of the functions of an authorised person under this local law;

"cat" has the meaning given to it in the Act;

"cat control notice" means a notice given under section of the Act in the form prescribed by Schedule 1 of the *Cat Regulations 2012*;

"cat management facility" has the meaning given to it in the Act;

"Cat Prohibited Area" means the land described in Schedule 1;

"cattery" means any premises where four (4) or more cats are kept, boarded, housed, trained or bred, whether for profit or otherwise, and where the occupier of the premises is not the ordinary owner of the cats;

"CEO" means the Chief Executive Officer of the City;

"City" means the City of Stirling;

"Council" means the council of the City;

"district" means the district of the City;

"Fauna Protection Buffer Zone" means any land extending 200m from the boundary of a Cat Prohibited Area and includes all of the properties through which the 200m limit passes;

"owner" means the owner of the cat as defined in the Act;

"Nuisance" means behaviour that includes where a cat –

- (a) excretes or urinates on premises being premises where the cat is not normally resident;
- (b) an activity or condition which is harmful or annoying and which gives rise to legal liability in the tort of public or private nuisance at law;
- (c) an unreasonable interference with the use and enjoyment of a person of his or her ownership or occupation of land;
- (d) interference which causes material damage to land or other property on the land affected by the interference; or
- (e) is, or is likely to be, injurious or dangerous to the health of any person or domestic or Australian indigenous animal;

"occupier" has the meaning given to it in the *Local Government Act 1995*;

"permit" means a permit issued by the City under this local law;

"permit holder" means a person who holds a valid permit under clause 3.8;

"premises" has the meaning given to it in the Act;

"Register" is defined in clause 4.1(1);

"RSPCA" means the Royal Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Inc) of Western Australia;

"Schedule" means a schedule to this local law;

"Scheme" means a local planning scheme of the City made by it under the *Planning and Development Act 2005 and its antecedents*; and

"State" means the State of Western Australia.

- 1.7 A term that is used in this local law and is not defined in clause 1.4 has the same meaning given to it in the Act or, if not defined in the Act, the same meaning given to it in the *Cat Regulations 2012*, the *Cat (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 2013* or the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Application

- 1.8 This local law applies throughout the district.

PART 2 - CAT CONTROL

Cats not to be a nuisance

- 2.1 (1) An owner shall not allow a cat to be or create a nuisance.
- (2) Where in the opinion of an authorised person, a cat is creating a nuisance, the City may give written notice to the owner of the cat requiring that person to abate the nuisance.
- (3) When a nuisance has occurred and a notice to abate the nuisance is given, the notice remains in force for the period specified by the City in the notice which shall not exceed twenty eight (28) days.
- (4) An owner given a notice to abate the nuisance must comply with the notice within the period specified in the notice.
- (5) If the owner fails to comply with this clause, the owner of the cat commits an offence.

Cat Prohibited Areas

- 2.2 (1) Unless in accordance with written authorisation from the City, a cat shall not be in a Cat Prohibited Area, as provided for in Schedule 1, at any time.
- (2) If a cat is at any time in a Cat Prohibited Area contrary to subclause (1), then –
- (a) the owner of the cat at that time commits an offence;
 - (b) an authorised person may seize and remove, or direct the seizure and removal of a cat from a Cat Prohibited Area, pursuant to the Act; and
 - (c) an authorised person may impound the cat in accordance with the Act.

PART 3 - PERMITS FOR KEEPING CATS

Interpretation

- 3.1 For the purposes of applying this Part –
- "cat" does not include a cat less than 6 months old.

Prescribed premises

- 3.2 For the purposes of the definition of prescribed premises in regulation 4(1) of the *Cat (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 2013*, this local law limits the number of cats that may be kept at any premises within the district except –
- (a) a cat management facility operated by a body prescribed as a cat management facility operator under the *Cat Regulations 2012*; or
 - (b) a cat management facility operated by the City; or
 - (c) a veterinary clinic or veterinary hospital as defined under section 2 of the *Veterinary Surgeons Act 1960*, but only in relation to cats kept on those premises for treatment.

Standard number of cats

- 3.3 For the purposes of the definition of 'standard number of cats' in regulation 4(1) of the *Cat (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 2013*, no more than two (2) cats may be kept on any premises.

Cats for which a permit is required

- 3.4 (1) A person shall not –
- (a) unless subclause (2) or (3) applies, keep 3 or more cats on any premises; or
 - (b) use any premises as a cattery or cat management facility,
- except in accordance with a valid permit in relation to those premises.
- (2) A person shall not keep any cat on any premises in a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone except in accordance with a valid permit in relation to those premises.
- (3) A permit is not required under subclause (1)(a) or (2) if the premises concerned are–
- (a) a refuge of the RSPCA or any other body prescribed in regulation 4 of the *Cat Regulations 2012*;
 - (b) a cat management facility which has been approved by the City;
 - (c) a veterinary surgery; or
 - (d) the subject of an exemption granted by the City.
- (4) A person who keeps more than the standard number of cats, as provided under clause 3.3, on a prescribed premises without approval commits an offence.

Application for permit

- 3.5 An application for a permit under clause 3.4 shall be –
- (a) made in writing by an occupier of the premises;
 - (b) in a form approved by the City, describing and specifying the number of cats to be kept on the premises;
 - (c) accompanied by a reason and justification for the request;
 - (d) accompanied by the plans of the premises to which the application relates to the specification and satisfaction of the City from time to time;
 - (e) accompanied by any fee imposed and determined by the City under and in accordance with sections 6.16 to 6.19 of the *Local Government Act 1995*; and
 - (f) accompanied by the consent in writing of the owner of the premises, where the occupier is not the owner of the premises to which the application relates.

Refusal to determine application

- 3.6 The City may refuse to determine an application for a permit if it is not made in accordance with clause 3.5.

Factors relevant to determination of application

- 3.7 (1) In determining an application for a permit the City may have regard to –
- (a) the reasons and justifications provided for the request;
 - (b) the physical suitability of the premises for the proposed use;
 - (c) the suitability of the zoning of the premises for the proposed use under any scheme which applies to the premises for the proposed use;

- (d) the environmental sensitivity and general nature of the location surrounding the premises for the proposed use;
 - (e) the structural suitability of any enclosure in which any cat is to be kept;
 - (f) the likelihood of a cat causing nuisance, inconvenience, or annoyance to the occupiers of adjoining land;
 - (g) the proximity of the premises to a Cat Prohibited Area or a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone;
 - (h) the likely effect on the amenity of the surrounding area of the proposed use;
 - (i) the likely effect on the local environment including any pollution or other environmental damage which may be caused by the proposed use;
 - (j) any submissions received under clause 3.7(2); and
 - (k) such other factors which the City may consider to be relevant in the circumstances of the particular case.
- (2) In determining an application for a permit pursuant to subclause (1) the City may consider such matters as it deems appropriate and may request the applicant –
- (a) consult with nearby landowners and/or occupiers and may specify which properties should be consulted;
 - (b) advise nearby landowners and/or occupiers that they may make submissions to the City on the application for a permit within fourteen (14) days of receiving that advice, before determining the application for the permit; or
 - (c) provide such further or other information as deemed necessary in order for it to make a determination.

Decision on application

- 3.8 (1) The City may –
- (a) approve an application for a permit, as it was submitted, in which case it shall approve it subject to the conditions referred to in clause 3.9(1); or
 - (b) approve an application but specify an alternative number of cats permitted to be kept at the premises, subject to the conditions referred to in clause 3.9(2); or
 - (c) refuse to approve an application for a permit.
- (2) If the City approves an application under subclause 3.8(1)(a) or 3.8(1)(b), then it shall issue to the applicant a permit in the form determined by the City.
- (3) If the City refuses to approve an application under subclause 3.8(1)(c), then it is to advise the applicant accordingly in writing.

Conditions

- 3.9 (1) Every permit is issued subject to the following conditions –
- (a) each cat kept on the premises to which the permit relates shall be an identified cat which shall comply with the requirements of the Act;

- (b) that the premises must be adequately fenced (and premises will be taken not to be adequately fenced if there is more than one escape of a cat from the premises);
 - (c) each cat may be required to be contained on the premises unless under the control of a person;
 - (d) the premises shall be maintained in good order and in a clean and sanitary condition.
- (2) In addition to the conditions referred to in subclause 3.9(1), every permit to keep 3 or more cats on premises or to keep more than one cat in a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone is issued subject to the following conditions -
- (a) adequate space shall be provided on the premises for the exercise of the cats; and
 - (b) the permit holder shall not substitute or replace any cat once that cat-
 - (c) dies; or
 - (d) is permanently removed from the premises; or
 - (e) has been registered with another local government,
- without first obtaining the consent of the City.
- (3) In addition to the conditions referred to in subclause 3.9(1), every permit to use premises as a cattery is issued subject to the following conditions -
- (a) any enclosure for the cats shall be structurally sound, have impervious flooring, be well lit and ventilated and otherwise comply with all legislative requirements;
 - (b) the premises shall have a feed room, wash area, isolation cages and maternity section;
 - (c) materials used in structures are to be of a type approved by the City;
 - (d) the internal surfaces of walls are, where possible, to be smooth, free from cracks, crevices and other defects;
 - (e) all fixtures, fittings and appliances are to be capable of being easily cleaned, resistant to corrosion and constructed to prevent the harbourage of vermin;
 - (f) washing basins and running hot and cold water shall be available to the satisfaction of the City;
 - (g) the maximum number of cats to be kept on the premises stated on the permit is not to be exceeded;
 - (h) the permit holder shall keep a register recording in respect of each cat the-
 - (i) date of admission;
 - (j) date of departure;
 - (k) breed, age, colour and sex; and
 - (l) name and residential address of the owner;
 - (m) the permit holder shall produce the register for inspection on the request of an authorised person;

- (n) enclosures shall be thoroughly cleaned each day and disinfected at least once a week; and
 - (k) any sick or ailing cat is to be removed from the premises or transferred to an isolation cage separated from other cats kept on the premises.
- (4) In addition to the conditions subject to which a permit is to be issued under this clause, a permit may be issued subject to such other conditions as the City considers appropriate.

No contravention of condition

3.10 Subject to a defence under the Act, an owner shall not contravene a condition of a permit.

Cat control notice may be given to cat owner following complaint

- 3.11 (1) A person may give a complaint in the form of a declaration made under section 106 of the *Evidence Act 1906* to the City –
- (a) alleging that at a particular date she or he saw a cat outside of premises where the cat is ordinarily kept or is ordinarily permitted to live;
 - (b) alleging that the cat was not under the control of any person;
 - (c) giving a description of the cat;
 - (d) stating how he or she knows that the cat is ordinarily kept or is ordinarily permitted to live at the premises;
 - (e) stating whether any other person saw the cat outside of the premises and, if so, giving details of that person; and
 - (f) giving such other details as the City may require.
- (2) Where the City receives a complaint under subclause 3.11(1), then the City must give the owner of the cat, a Cat Control Notice if: -
- (a) the cat is the subject of a permit;
 - (b) the premises are the subject of the same permit; and
 - (c) 2 or more complaints are received from 2 or more occupiers of different premises.

Note: A statutory declaration may be made before a Justice of the Peace or by virtue of the Declarations and Attestations Act 1913, before the persons listed in the Schedule to that Act, including the CEO and the Deputy CEO of the City, a Councillor of the City, a teacher, a solicitor, a police officer, a medical practitioner, a pharmaceutical chemist and a real estate agent.

Duration of permit

3.12 Unless otherwise specified in a condition on a permit, a permit commences on the date of issue and is valid unless and until it is cancelled.

Renewal of permit

- 3.13 (1) An application is to be renewed if –
- (a) the approved person has not breached the conditions of the approval;
 - (b) the approval would have been granted if a fresh application for approval had been made; and

- (c) the renewal fee, imposed and determined by the City under sections 6.16 to 6.19 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, is paid to the City before the expiry of the approval.
- (2) On renewal of an approval, the conditions of the approval that applied immediately before the renewal continue to have effect.

Variation or cancellation of permit

- 3.14 (1) The City may, at any time, vary the conditions of an approval by giving written notice to the permit holder and specifying the date on which the changes will become effective.
- (2) The City may cancel an approval –
 - (a) on the request of the approved person;
 - (b) if the approved person breaches the Act, the *Cat Regulations 2012*, the *Cat (Uniform Local Provisions) Regulations 2013* or this local law; or
 - (c) if the approved person is not a fit and proper person to provide for the health and welfare of the cat(s).
- (3) If an approval is cancelled, the fee paid for the approval is not refundable for the term of the approval that has not yet expired.

Revocation

- 3.15 The City may cancel a revoke a permit if the permit holder fails to observe any provision of this local law or a condition of a permit.

Permit not transferable

- 3.16 A permit is not transferable either in relation to the permit holder or the premises.

Permit to be kept at the premises and available for view

- 3.17 (1) A permit issued by the City shall be kept at the premises to which it applies and shall be provided to an authorised person immediately on demand.
- (2) In the case of a registered cattery or cat management facility, the permit shall be displayed in a prominent place within the premises.

PART 4 - REMOVAL AND IMPOUNDING OF CATS

Register

- 4.1 (1) The City is to keep a record of removed and impounded cats (the “Register”).
- (2) The Register is to contain the following information about each impounded cat -
 - (a) the breed and sex of the cat;
 - (b) the colour, distinguishing markings and features of the cat;
 - (c) if known, the name and address of its owner;
 - (d) the date and time of removal;
 - (e) the name of the person who removed the cat;

- (f) the reason for the removal; and
- (g) the date of release or disposal.

No destruction of container for cats

- 4.2 A person shall not destroy, break into, damage, or in any other way interfere with any container or other property used for the purpose of catching, holding or conveying cats by an authorised person.

PART 5 - FEES, CHARGES AND COSTS

Fees, Charges and Costs

- 5.1 The following are to be imposed and determined by the local government under sections 6.16 to 6.19 of the *Local Government Act 1995* –
- (a) the charges to be levied under section 31(1)(a) of the Act relating to the removing and impounding of a cat;
 - (b) the charges to be levied under section 31(1)(b) of the Act relating to keeping and caring for a cat;
 - (c) the costs incurred by the operator of a cat management facility under section 31(1)(c) of the Act for the microchipping of a cat prior to release if so required under section 33 of the Act;
 - (d) the cost incurred by the operator of a cat management facility under section 31(1)(d) of the Act for the sterilisation of a cat if so required under section 33 of the Act; and
 - (e) the costs for destruction and the disposal of a cat under section 49 of the Act.

PART 6 - DESTRUCTION OF CATS

Request to destroy cat

- 6.1
- (1) A owner of a cat may request the City to destroy the cat.
 - (2) The request under subclause (1) must –
 - (a) be in the form determined by the City;
 - (b) be signed by the person making the request; and
 - (c) state –
 - (d) that the person making the request is an owner of the cat;
 - (e) the name and residential address of the owner;
 - (f) a description of the cat including its breed, colour, sex and age;
 - (g) the reason for requesting the destruction; and
 - (h) that the person making the request indemnifies the City in respect of any costs or liabilities incurred by the City arising from the destruction of the cat.
 - (3) The City may cause a cat to be destroyed in a humane manner where a request is made in accordance with subclause (2).

PART 7 - OFFENCES, DEFENCE AND PENALTIES

Offences and penalty provisions

- 7.1 (1) Any person who fails to do anything required or directed to be done under this local law, or who does anything which under this local law that person is prohibited from doing, commits an offence.
- (2) Any person who commits an offence under this local law is liable, on conviction, to a penalty not exceeding \$5,000, and if the offence is of a continuing nature, to an additional penalty not exceeding \$500 for each day or part of a day during which the offence has continued.

Modified penalties

- 7.2 (1) An offence against a clause specified in Schedule 2 is a prescribed offence for the purposes of section 84 of the Act.
- (2) The amount appearing in the fourth column of Schedule 2 adjacent to a clause is the modified penalty payable in respect of an offence against that clause if the offence does not occur in a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone or a Cat Prohibited Area.
- (3) The amount appearing in the fifth column of Schedule 2 adjacent to a clause is the modified penalty payable in respect of an offence against that clause if the offence occurs in a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone or a Cat Prohibited Area.

Serving infringement notices

- 7.3 An infringement notice issued under section 62 of the Act or this local law may be given to a person –
- (a) personally;
 - (b) by postal mail addressed to the person;
 - (c) by registered mail addressed to the person;
 - (d) by leaving it for the person at her or his business; or
 - (e) by email.

SCHEDULE 1
Cat Prohibited Areas


Common Name	Reserve/Lot Number	Location (bound by or accessed from)	Suburb
Star Swamp Bushland Reserve	As shown in Map 1	Marmion Avenue, North Beach Road, Hope Street	North Beach
Carine Regional Open Space	As shown in Map 2	Beach Road, Okely Road, Reid Highway, Monyash Road	Carine
Lake Gwelup Open Space	As shown in Map 3	Wanstead Street, Huntriss Road, Segrave Street, North Beach Road	Gwelup
Trigg Bushland Reserve	As shown in Map 4	Karrinyup Road, West Coast Highway	Trigg
Herdsmen Lake Regional Park	As shown in Map 5	Jon Sanders Drive, Pearson Street	Herdsmen
Jackadder Lake Reserve	As shown in Map 6	Birchwood Avenue, Blackbutt Road, Jackadder Way, Rosewood Avenue	Woodlands
Dianella Regional Open Space	As shown in Map 7	Light Street, Alexander Drive, Morley Drive	Dianella
Hamersley Public Golf Course	As shown in Map 8	Marmion Avenue, Milverton Avenue	Karrinyup
Cottonwood Bushland Reserve	As shown in Map 9	Cottonwood Crescent, Dianella Drive, Gay Street, Broadcast Drive	Dianella
Mirrabooka Open Space	As shown in Map 10	Reid Highway, Mirrabooka Avenue	Mirrabooka
Coastal Reserves	As shown in Map 11	West Coast Drive	Trigg
Inglewood Triangle Reserve	As shown in Map 12	Walter Road West, Eighth Avenue, Hamer Parade	Inglewood
Breckler Park	As shown in Map 13	Cresswell Road, Aldersea Road, Cornwall Street	Yokine
Princess Wallington Reserve	As shown in Map 14	Maitland Road, Wallington Road, Princess Road, Camberwell Road	Balga
Memory Place Natural Reserve	As shown in Map 15	Tuscany Way, Memory Place, Churchlands Avenue, Silkwood Turn, Dolomite Court	Churchlands
Rannoch Reserve	As shown in	Rannoch Circle, Tay Place, Earn Place, Aviemore Close, Glenorchy	Hamersley

ME_222363454_11


Common Name	Reserve/Lot Number	Location (bound by or accessed from)	Suburb
	Map 16	Crescent	
Terry Tyzack Bushland	As shown in Map 17	Alexander Drive	Inglewood
Richard Guelfi Reserve	As shown in Map 18	Delawney Street, Natalie Way, Gibberd Road	Balcatta
Aintree Eglinton Reserve	As shown in Map 19	Eglinton Crescent, Belvedere Road, Lampard Street, Glendale Avenue, Nimrod Street	Hamersley
Wythburn Redcliffe Reserve	As shown in Map 20	Wythburn Avenue, Balga Avenue, Redcliffe Avenue, Hawkshead Way	Balga
Herb Elliott Reserve	As shown in Map 21	Elliott Road, Rinaldi Crescent, Jeanes Road	Karrinyup
Esperanto Martin Reserve	As shown in Map 22	Esperanto Way, Reid Highway	Westminster
Charles Riley Memorial Reserve	As shown in Map 23	Charles Riley Road, Wendling Road, Williamson Way, Kitchener Street, North Beach Road	North Beach
Beach Marmion Reserve	As shown in Map 24	Kimble Court, Beach Road, Marmion Avenue, Cressbrook Way, Whittington Avenue	Carine
Jeanes-Prisk Reserve	As shown in Map 25	Jeanes Road, Prisk Street, Taris Place, Karrinyup Road	Karrinyup
Coralberry Verbena Reserve	As shown in Map 26	Coralberry Crescent, Verbena Crescent, Thistle Court	Dianella
Esperanto Harris Gibb Reserve	As shown in Map 27	Harris Way, Mirrabooka Avenue, Gibb Crescent	Westminster
Bellevue Hellenic Reserve	As shown in Map 28	Hellenic Drive, Bale Lane, Bellevue Crescent	Dianella
Meadowbrook Montclair Reserve	As shown in Map 29	Meadowbrook Boulevard, Cherrywood Avenue, Montclair Retreat, Tobruk Lane	Dianella
Chellaston Edlaston Reserve	As shown in Map 30	Chellaston Crescent, Edlaston Road	Carine
Redunca Coronata Reserve	As shown in Map 31	Redunca Way, Pallens Grove, Nodding Gardens, Coronata Way	Mirrabooka
Wanneroo Derrington Reserve	As shown in Map 32	Wanneroo Road, Hames Court, Arnold Place Loop, Derrington Crescent	Balga

ME_222363454_11

Common Name	Reserve/Lot Number	Location (bound by or accessed from)	Suburb
Emerald Marmion Reserve	As shown in Map 33	Marmion Avenue, Reid Highway, Emerald Way	Carine
Careniup Wetlands Reserve	As shown in Map 34	Careniup Avenue, Balcatta Road, Water Crest Gardens, Grimwood Avenue	Gwelup
Roselea Reserve	As shown in Map 35	Roselea Boulevard, Coralvine Grange, Grindleford Drive	Stirling
Sattelberg Prevelly Reserve	As shown in Map 36	Sattelberg Ramble, Gracetown Drive, Muchea Gardens, Eora Creek Terrace, Pomona Lane	Dianella
Jackson Duke Wheeler Reserve	As shown in Map 37	Jackson Avenue, Wheeler Road, Duke Street	Karrinyup
Heritage Park	As shown in Map 38	Millgate Road, Cartwright Road, Wallington Road	Balga
Laurie Strutt Reserve	As shown in Map 39	Elvire Street, Hugh Street, Hale Street	Watermans Bay
Apple Blossom Polyantha Reserve	As shown in Map 40	Apple Blossom Drive, Polyantha Gardens, Lambourne Retreat	Mirrabooka
Clarko Reserve	As shown in Map 41	Trigg Place, West Coast Drive	Trigg



Map 1 - Star Swamp Bushland Reserve




Map 2 – Carine Regional Open Space

ME_222363454_11




Map 3 - Lake Gwelup Open Space



Map 4 - Trigg Bushland Reserve




Map 5 - Herdsman Lake Regional Park




Map 6 - Jackadder Lake Reserve


ME_222363454_11



Map 7 - Dianella Regional Open Space



Map 8 - Hamersley Public Golf Course




Map 9 - Cottonwood Bushland Reserve



Map 10 - Mirrabooka Open Space

ME_222363454_11



ME_222363454_11



ME_222363454_11




ME_222363454_11




ME_222363454_11



Map 11 - Coastal Reserves




Map 12 – Inglewood Triangle Reserve



Map 13 - Breckler Park

ME_222363454_11




Map 14 – Princess Wallington Reserve

ME_222363454_11



Map 15 - Memory Place Natural Reserve




Map 16 - Rannoch Reserve



Map 17 - Terry Tyzack Bushland

ME_222363454_11



Map 18 - Richard Guelfi Reserve




Map 19 - Aintree Eglinton Reserve




Map 20 - Wythburn Redcliffe Reserve

ME_222363454_11



Map 21 - Herb Elliott Reserve




Map 22 – Esperanto Martin Reserve

ME_222363454_11




Map 23 - Charles Riley Memorial Reserve




Map 24 - Beach Marmion Reserve


ME_222363454_11



Map 25 - Jeanes-Prisk Reserve



Map 26 - Coralberry Verbena Reserve




Map 27 - Esperanto Harris Gibb Reserve

ME_222363454_11




Map 28 – Belleview Hellenic Reserve



ME_222363454_11

Map 29 - Meadowbrook Montclair Reserve




Map 30 - Chellaston Edlaston Reserve




Map 31 – Redunca Coronata Reserve


ME_222363454_11




Map 32 – Wanneroo Derrington Reserve




Map 33 - Emerald Marmion Reserve



Map 34 - Careniup Wetlands Reserve




Map 35 - Roselea Reserve



Map 36 - Sattelberg Prevelly Reserve

ME_222363454_11




Map 37 - Jackson Duke Wheeler Reserve




Map 38 - Heritage Park

ME_222363454_11



Map 39 - Laurie Strutt Reserve



Map 40 - Apple Blossom Polyantha Reserve



Map 41 - Clarko Reserve

SCHEDULE 2
Modified Penalties

ITEM No	CLAUSE No	NATURE OF OFFENCE	MODIFIED PENALTY	MODIFIED PENALTY – within Cat Prohibited Area or Fauna Protection Buffer Zone \$
1.	3.4(1)(a)	Failure of an owner to hold a permit when keeping more than the standard number of cats on premises not in a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone	\$ 250.00	500.00
2.	3.4(1)(b)	Use of premises as cattery or cat management facility without permit	250.00	500.00
9.	3.4(2)	Failure of an owner to hold a permit when keeping a cat on premises in a Fauna Protection Buffer Zone		500.00
3.	3.10	Breach of a condition of a permit	250.00	500.00
4.	3.11(2)	Breach of a cat control notice	250.00	500.00
5.	2.2	Cat in Cat Prohibited Area		500.00
7.	2.1(1)	Cat causing a nuisance	250.00	500.00
8.	2.1(4)	Failure to abate a nuisance	250.00	500.00

ME_222363454_11



Community Safety

Responses to questions raised at the Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law Review Briefing Session – February 2024

On 27 February 2024, the Community Safety team conducted a briefing session to inform the community about the City's existing local laws relating to cats, share the feedback the City received from earlier community engagements, and for attendees to participate in a Q&A session.

Question	Response
What will you do with trapped cats?	Once a cat is caught in a trap (seized) it will be checked for a microchip, registration, and other identifiable information usually found on a collar. Dependant on what is found one of the following scenarios will occur: A) If the cat is found to be compliant with the <i>Cat Act 2011</i> and the City's local laws and/or if proof of ownership to a nearby resident can be confirmed, the cat will be returned and released at the owner's listed property on the first occasion. If the cat is subject to trapping in any similar and subsequent circumstances, the cat may be seized and impounded at the City's Cat Management Facility (CMF), and will be subject to payment of associated impoundment fees on release. B) If the seized cat is not registered and the cat's owner is identifiable: ○ a caution notice may be issued for a first offence, and ○ an investigation will be conducted to ensure compliance with relevant legislation. C) If no identifiable information is found, the cat will be impounded at the CMF. The cat will be held at the CMF for a limited time in which the owner is able to attend and collect the cat, subject to payment of associated impoundment fees. If the cat is not collected within the designated timeframe it will be assessed for rehoming.


Fences Act to attach enclosures to?

The [Dividing Fences Act 1961](#) and the [City of Stirling Fencing Local Law 2008](#) guide what is permitted in regards to fences.

When considering the construction or installation of a cat enclosure (ie catio), if the structure requires attaching or affixing to a dividing fence, you should first seek consent from the neighbouring property owner.

Depending on the size, dimensions and nature of the cat enclosure, a building permit may be required. Once you have the consent of your neighbouring property owner and details about your cat enclosure, you may [lodge an enquiry](#) with the City.

How are the new laws to be enforced?

The enforcement of the new local laws will be guided by the City's [Compliance Enforcement Policy](#).

How will the 6,000 registered cat owners be consulted?

The local law consultation process for registered cat owners and other community members will be primarily communicated through the City's online project and engagement hub: [Shaping our City](#). The Community Safety business unit will also be advising the community through regular communication channels and engagement activities (website, social media and e-newsletters).

How will breeding be dealt with for community/unowned cats?

Under current legislation, all cats over the age of 6 months are required to be sterilised. Cat owners that wish to breed cats, may seek an exemption through an application process with their local government.

At the City of Stirling, applications may be made with a cat registration form and an [Application for Cattery Permit / Approved Breeder](#) form.

Unowned cat colonies will be actioned by City officers:

- Cat Prohibited Areas: Park & Sustainability
- Other reserves and public areas: Community Safety
- Private property: Community Safety



Will the COS seek advice from JSCDL on why they have been knocking other council on effective cat control and will they seek their own legal advice?

The Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation reviews delegated legislation on behalf of the Parliament. The City has reviewed recent decision making which will directly inform the statutory review of the *Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999*. It is not considered appropriate or necessary to seek legal independent legal advice on the legitimacy of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation's decision making. The most effective method to effect change regarding the control of nuisance/wandering cats in public places is through the next statutory review of the *Cat Act 2011*. Based on previous advice from the Minister it is understood that a statutory review of the *Cat Act 2011* is due to commence as soon as practicable after 2024.

What can COS do to respond to instances of cat colonies causing social issues?

The City of Stirling will investigate all reported cat colonies.

Any unowned/non-compliant cats in the colony will be seized and checked for a microchip, registration, and other identifiable information usually found on a collar.

- A) If the seized cat is found to be compliant with the *Cat Act 2011* and the City's local laws and/or if proof of ownership to a nearby resident can be confirmed, the cat will be returned and released at the owner's listed property on the first occasion. If the cat is subject to trapping in any similar and subsequent circumstances, the cat may be seized and impounded at the City's CMF, and will be subject to payment of associated impoundment fees on release.
- B) If the seized cat is not registered and the cat's owner is identifiable:
 - a caution notice may be issued for a first offence, and
 - an investigation will be conducted to ensure compliance with relevant legislation.
- C) If no identifiable information is found, the cat will be impounded at the CMF. The cat will be held at the CMF for a limited time in which the owner is able to attend and



collect the cat, subject to payment of associated impoundment fees. If the cat is not collected within the designated timeframe it will be assessed for rehoming.

What should COS do for responsible cat ownership, and will the council agree in the face of all reasonable evidence that cat containment is a necessity?

Cats make great companion animals and the City advocates responsible cat ownership. Responsible cat ownership is ensuring owned cats have a healthy feline environment (aligned with the [Feline Environmental Needs Guidelines](#)), are compliant with all relevant legislation, and any undue impacts on neighbours, the community and environment are minimised. There are many benefits to cat containment and the City encourages people to keep their cats safely contained to their property, particularly at night. Secure outdoor cat enclosures allows pets to play safely outside while eliminating potential hazards such as traffic, other pets and wildlife.

Will the COS agree that in the face of all reasonable evidence that the continuance of free roaming cats is an untenable situation?

Free roaming cats are more likely to get hit by cars, injured in fights or through misadventure, catch fatal diseases, or become lost. Keeping cats confined to a property are more likely to be safer from these kinds of hazards and prolong their life and health. Cats kept indoors will also prevent nuisance behavioural issues and protect wildlife. The City promotes responsible cat ownership with information available on the City's [website](#).

How do COS enforce current buffer zones?

The City of Stirling enforces Fauna Protection Buffer Zones through the [Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999](#) (KACOC). Enforcement activities are guided by the City's [Compliance Enforcement Policy](#). A fauna protection buffer zone means the land extending 200 metres from the boundary of a Cat Prohibited Area. Clause 3.7 of the KACOC local law identifies certain conditions that apply to cat owners of 2 or more cats in fauna protection buffer zones, including that:



- each cat kept on the premises is registered
- each cat may be required to be contained on the premises unless under the control of a person
- the premises shall be maintained in good order and in a clean and sanitary condition
- adequate space shall be provided on the premises for the exercise of the cats.

Will COS run a catio workshop for residents as many other councils have done?

The City is investigating options to conduct similar workshops and other activities that support responsible cat ownership.

Will the budget for cat control be expanded to enforce local law? NB The excuse the laws read to be 100% enforceable is irrelevant.

The current budget allocated to cat control includes legislative compliance activities. Community Safety will review the impacts of the amendments to the City's [Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999](#) and if budgetary changes are required this submitted as part of the City's budget process.

Will the council lend out cat traps?

Yes. With the consent of the resident, Rangers will set trap/s on private property to ensure the security of the trap and the welfare of the cat. Traps will be available during set times in order to ensure no distress to a trapped animal and ensure compliance with welfare requirements.

Will the council establish their own cat management facility instead of relying on already overloaded Cat Haven?

Yes. The City will be implementing its own cat management facility to be located at the City's the Animal Care Facility during 2024/25.

Will the council expand cat prohibited areas and buffer zones?

Yes, it is anticipated that one of the amendments to the City's [Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999](#) will be an increased number of cat prohibited areas and fauna protection buffer zones.

Will COS consider subsidy catios?

Yes. The opportunity to subsidise catios and other containment options for residents in the future will definitely be considered.
As part of the City's 2023/24 projects, Community Safety recently conducted a Responsible Cat Ownership subsidy to encourage cat owners to



register their cats by reducing the costs associated with microchipping and sterilisation. The effectiveness of the subsidy will be assessed and options to continue or amend the project will be considered.

Would COS join COB to jointly put up a new submission with other LGA's to JSCDL? COB have to put forward a review shortly.

The Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation reviews delegated legislation on behalf of the Parliament. The City has reviewed recent decision making which will directly inform the statutory review of the *Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999*. It is not considered appropriate or necessary to seek legal independent legal advice on the legitimacy of the Joint Standing Committee on Delegated Legislation's decision making. The most effective method to effect change regarding the control of nuisance/wandering cats in public places is through the next statutory review of the *Cat Act 2011*. Based on previous advice from the Minister it is understood that a statutory review of the *Cat Act 2011* is due to commence as soon as practicable after 2024.

[The City of] Wanneroo feedback from their survey, are you across it?

Yes. Local government officers regularly share non-personal information about regulatory practices with other neighbouring local governments. City of Stirling officers discussed the outcomes of the City of Wanneroo survey. We understand that the survey was open for one month and 780 responses were provided. The main outcomes of the survey were:

- 92% of the respondents supported improved restrictions
- Respondents were concerned about wildlife

The survey supported the following changes to their local laws

- 42 cat prohibited areas were identified
- The modified penalty for the offence of a cat in prohibited area was set at \$1,000
- Establishment of a nuisance cat investigation, similar to how nuisance dog



noise complaints are managed (diaries
and the issue of a cat control notice).

Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law - City responses July 2023

Question	Response
What can the City do to restrict free roaming cats?	At this time, in most places in the City, there are no curfew or containment requirements for cats. Certain reserves in the City have been designated as cat prohibited areas. Cats in these locations may be impounded and their owners fined. The City also encourages cat owners to keep their cats indoors and provides information to residents that helps deter cats from entering their property. When a cat is impounded by the City, one of the following scenarios will take place: If the cat does not comply with certain provisions of the Cat Act 2011 or the Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999, the City will hold the cat at our Cat Management Facility and contact the owner (where possible) to advise where collection can be made. Please note that fees and penalties will apply prior to the cat being released. If the cat does not comply with the Cat Act 2011 at all (including if it is not registered, microchipped, sterilised, or wearing a collar), it will be taken to the Cat Management Facility and may be rehomed if an owner is not identifiable. If the cat is registered, microchipped, sterilised, wearing a collar, and not within a cat prohibited area, the City will release the cat. To avoid the above scenarios, cat owners are encouraged to practice responsible cat ownership and take active measures to ensure their cat is registered and sufficiently contained on their own property wherever possible.
Is it legal for me to capture and euthanise a cat that has entered my home? Who is responsible for damage caused to my property by a roaming cat?	You are only allowed to trap an unowned cat on your own private property. Cruelty to animals is illegal, so you can't set traps and leave them. Section 19 of the Animal Welfare Act 2022 makes animal cruelty an offence which carries a minimum penalty of \$2000 fine and a maximum penalty of a \$50,000 fine and imprisonment for 5 years. If an unowned cat (not wearing a collar or tag) wanders onto your property without permission more than once, it can be seized by the owner of the property or a Ranger and surrendered to a cat management facility.
Why doesn't the City capture a roaming cat and issue an infringement to the cat owner?	It is not illegal for cats to wander onto private property under current state legislation and City of Stirling local laws. If you are experiencing issues with cats coming onto your property, and you know the owner, let them know of your concerns. You can also apply deterrent measures to try to discourage the cat from entering your property.

	Where these actions have been taken but haven't resolved the issue you can report the matter to the City via our online enquiries for by contacting us on (08) 9205 8555.
How restrictive is a blanket cat containment law?	Containment means ensuring a pet cat is restricted from roaming beyond your property. They can still have time outdoors in cat runs or in backyards that have appropriate fencing or netting that keep a cat from escaping.
What constitutes a cat enclosed area?	A cat enclosure is a suitably fenced or enclosed area and may include buildings or structures (other than fences) which effectively contain your cat. Confining your cat indoors will also meet this requirement.
When will the City trap cats?	Using trained and appropriately licensed professionals, the City traps cats in cat prohibited areas. In exceptional circumstances, the City will arrange trapping to be conducted in other public areas and on private properties to address unowned and abandoned cat issues.
Can we report cat nuisance outside of cat prohibited areas?	Where residents regularly observe nuisance cat behaviour it is generally best to have a neighbourly chat with their owner (if known) and let them know what their cat is doing. It's often the case that the owner doesn't even know their cat is creating a problem and the matter can be resolved simply and amicably by talking about the issue. If this approach doesn't have the desired result, residents may wish to try natural deterrents. Scattering the following natural materials in your yard where the cat is entering, or roaming might deter the cat from returning. If you have pets of your own, it is important to consider whether this will impact them too and if these will be a suitable solution for your home: • citrus peels (Lemon, Lime, Orange, Mandarin, etc) • coffee grounds • oil of lavender • garlic • cinnamon • lemongrass • eucalyptus • citronella • vinegar (sprayed on specific areas). There is also a selection of humane commercial cat deterrent solutions available for purchase from online and in-store retailers which can be set up in your own yard. Where the above actions have been taken but haven't resolved the issue you can report the matter to the City via our online enquiries for by contacting us on (08) 9205 8555.
If dogs aren't allowed to roam, why are cats allowed to roam?	Cats are not managed by the same laws as dogs - they do not need to be confined to properties and they aren't required to be held by a leash. Dogs and cats are different creatures with distinct physiologies and behaviours. The risks associated with a larger, more aggressive animal (like a dog) are typically greater than those associated with a smaller,

	more apprehensive animal (like a cat) - particularly for human interaction and with traffic.
Is there a limit on how many cats a person can own and why?	A limit on the number of cats aims to keep pets safe and healthy, and reduce the impact cats have on our neighbourhood and natural areas.
Why aren't cat owners required to be register their cats and follow relevant signs?	The Cat Act 2011 (the Act) requires the identification, registration and sterilisation of domestic cats, and gives local governments the power to administer and enforce the legislation. The legislation enables better management of the unwanted impacts of cats on the community and the environment, as well as encourages responsible cat ownership. Microchipping and registration will also assist in the return of your cat if it becomes lost. All cats that have reached six months of age are required to be: • microchipped • sterilised • registered with the relevant local government. Your cat will be required to wear a registration tag to ensure they can be easily identified and returned to you if they become lost.
Why aren't cat owners held accountable for their cat's behaviour?	All cat owners have a responsibility to their cat, their community, and the environment. The Cat Act 2011 requires the identification, registration and sterilisation of domestic cats, and gives local governments the power to administer and enforce the legislation.
Why don't all Councils have the same cat laws?	The City of Stirling has a number of cat prohibited areas in which cats are not permitted. Local laws are representative of the unique needs and preferences of their residents and will vary between different local governments. The cat local law review will help ensure the laws are relevant, effective, and up to date with current legislative requirements.

PARKS AND ENVIRONMENT**P1 HAMER PARK DOG PARK EXTENSION, MOUNT LAWLEY**

Business Unit:	Parks and Environment	Service: Open Space & Parks Development
Ward:	Lawley	Location: Inglewood Oval – Inglewood Fenced Dog Park
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Ferrante

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

1. That Council **NOTES** the results of investigations to modify or expand the dog park at Hamer Park, Mount Lawley, to cater specifically for small dogs and for increased use of the park.
2. That a further report is **PRESENTED** to Council in February 2025, with costings for a low fence and gate to modify the existing park as requested.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Reason for Change

Whilst the motion requested options for modification or expansion of the dog park, the report simply investigated expansion, and provided no simple solution to the modification as requested.

Recommendation

That Council NOTES the results of investigations to modify or expand the dog park at Hamer Park, Mount Lawley, to cater specifically for small dogs and for increased use of the park.

Purpose

In response to a Notice of Motion resolved (Council Resolution Number 0824/027) by Council at its meeting held 13 August 2024, this report is to update Council on options to modify or expand the fenced dog park at Hamer Park, Mount Lawley to cater specifically for small dogs and for increased park use.

Details

The Inglewood Fenced Dog Park shown in Figure 1, the first facility of this type built within the City, was opened in 2013 as a result of identified community need. Due to its success, it has received several upgrades over the years including:

- 2014 - Sand pit and agility equipment added.
- 2016 - An additional drink fountain and seating.
- 2020 - Increase in size by 550m², amended to include the existing shelter within the fenced dog park and the construction of an additional entry to spread wear and tear.



Figure 1 – Inglewood fenced Dog Park and List Field within Inglewood Oval Precinct

The Bureau of Meteorology’s Inglewood Weather Station is located within the fenced dog park. The design of the dog park was required to accommodate the weather station by ensuring there was no irrigation or structures within the offset zone of the weather station.

Based on the success of the Inglewood Fenced Dog Park, the Parks and Environment Business Unit prepared a Citywide strategy for the delivery of fenced dog parks across the City. This strategy, now implemented, has seen fenced dog parks established at:

- Charles Riley Reserve;
- Dianella Regional Open Space;
- Carine Regional Open Space; and
- Princess Wallington Reserve.

The only option to extend the Inglewood Fenced Dog Park is to the north-east, which would require occupying List Field. List Field is currently utilised by:

- Perth Baseball Club / Perth Cubs Tee Ball Club; and
- Mount Lawley Football Club.

The Active Communities Service Unit has undertaken consultation with these sporting groups to gauge their current use of List Field and the impact of having reduced access to this oval. The outcome of this consultation is provided below.

Perth Baseball Club and Perth Cubs Tee Ball Club

The Perth Baseball Club has confirmed that during the season List Field is used on Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday evenings for various training activities and on Saturday and Sunday as a warm-up area. They have also confirmed that during the off season the area is used for their high-performance program between three and four times per week.

Perth Cubs Tee Ball Club is currently utilising Inglewood Oval to capacity, and they see List Field as the area they will likely expand into as they start State Training when an additional three diamonds will be required.

Mount Lawley Football Club

Mount Lawley Football Club has confirmed that the club uses List Field extensively on match days as a warmup area for all their teams. They have also confirmed that they regularly use this space during pre-season when there is crossover with the summer sports clubs.

Given the high usage of List Field by the clubs identified above, there is currently no opportunity to expand the Inglewood Fenced Dog Park into this active sports reserve.

Splitting the existing fenced dog park into two spaces for active and passive dogs is not recommended, as this will significantly decrease the available exercise space, concentrate wear and tear into smaller areas and likely result in significant deterioration of the turf surface to below community expectations. At its current size it has recently been necessary to re-turf this facility due to the declining quality of the turf from wear and tear.

Given the interest shown in additional fenced dog park facilities in this area, the City will continue to investigate opportunities for this type of facility in this area.

Financial Assessment and Implications

Nil.

Stakeholder Engagement

Nil.

Options Summary

The following option was considered.

OPTIONS	
1.	Retain the current size of the Inglewood Oval Fenced Dog Park, as the high usage of List Field by several clubs results in no opportunity for expansion at this location.

Recommended Action

As there is no opportunity to expand the Inglewood Fenced Dog Park into List Field, the recommended action is to continue to explore other opportunities in this area to provide additional fenced dog park facilities.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
13 August 2024	0824/027	That a report be PRESENTED to Council by the end of November 2024 to consider options to modify or expand the dog park at Hamer Park, Mount Lawley to cater specifically for small dogs and also for increased use of the park.

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our community

Objective: An active and healthy City

Priority: Facilitate a range of recreation and leisure opportunities for everyone in the City

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Purpose	The City will pursue community and sector leadership through forward thinking decision making, and alignment of its services and operations with its strategic objectives.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Nil.

Available for viewing at the meeting

Nil.

Linked Documents

Nil.

P2 PROPOSED INGLEWOOD PARKLAND PROJECT

Business Unit:	Parks and Environment	Service: Open Space & Parks Development
Ward:	Inglewood	Location: Wordsworth Reserve – Inglewood Parkland
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Giudici

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

1. That Council NOTES the concept design and associated costing for the development of the Inglewood Parkland.
2. That Council NOTES that the information will be included in the Integrated Planning and Budget packs for 2025/26 for further consideration

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

1. That Council NOTES the concept design and associated costing for the development of the Inglewood Parkland.
2. That Council NOTES that the information will be included in the Integrated Planning and Budget packs for 2025/26 for further consideration

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide the concept designs and associated costings for the proposed Inglewood Parkland to Council.

Details

At its meeting held 21 November 2023, Council resolved (Council Resolution Number 1123/016) to prepare a concept design and cost estimate for the proposed Inglewood Parkland.

Subsequently, community consultation was undertaken to inform the development of the concepts for the Youth Parkland. Details of the stakeholder engagement are included below.

The community consultation identified the amenities listed below as priorities for this project. The concept design has included these amenities to ensure the proposal responds to the ideas identified by the community.

- Multiple purpose sports courts – basketball and netball.
- Skate/Scooter and BMX.
- Urban Mountain Bike Trail and Jumps.
- Outdoor fitness space.
- Wellbeing Space:
 - Hangout Space including bespoke style seating and conversational led swings for girls.
 - Social Enterprise showing a sustainable designed “cabin” style modern “green” structure/building for young people to utilise for pop up events, café, programs as well as community groups such as for a well-being mindfulness afternoon.
- Adventure park with ninja and flying fox.
- Feature Lighting – Art.
- Bike Racks / scooter Bike Parking for Active Transport and active transport options.
- Activation Event Opportunities such as:
 - Coffee van and food trucks and small event mode such as street hustle 3 x 3 basketball. Street style 3 x3 basketball event, small skate / BMX event and mountain bike competition.
- Landscaping with natural shading.
- Phone and emergency phone station with charging.
- Bespoke conversational seating and yarning circle.

Two concepts and associated costings have been prepared and are attached. Concept A (Attachment 2) includes all the amenities identified as priorities through the public engagement process. Concept B (Attachment 3) provides a scaled back version that includes mountain bike jumps and trail facilities, skate BMX and scooter facilities with car parking and open grassed areas.

Financial Assessment and Implications

An estimate of the costs for the next phase of the project (design development) is provided in the below table for consideration as part of the 2024/25 integrated planning and budgeting process.

Also included in the table is the total project cost estimates and indicative annual maintenance costs.

The ongoing operational and maintenance costs for this development have been estimated from current actual costs at Bina Parkland which has similar facilities as proposed in this development.

	Total Project Cost Estimate	Design Development Estimate (Professional Fees)	Maintenance Estimate (Landscaped and Engineered Facilities)
Concept A	\$9.2 Million	\$250,000	\$250,000 per annum
Concept B	\$5 Million	\$150,000	\$175,000 per annum

A breakdown of the cost estimates for Concept A and Concept B are shown in Attachments 4 and 5.

Stakeholder Engagement

Impact	Consistent with Council Resolution Number 1123/016, the purpose of the Inglewood Youth Parkland engagement was to seek community views on a proposed vision to inform the preparation of a concept design. To bring the project to life, the City invited new perspectives and innovation by requesting the community share their vision by submitting an inspiration image or responding to the following questions: What is your vision for this space? What ideas are captured in your image? Any additional comments you would like to share?
---------------	--

Interest	The City received 112 submissions between 30 April 2024 and 18 June 2024. This included 38 photo submissions and 281 ideas (including duplicates). As of 30 June 2024, the Inglewood Youth Parkland project page had received 1,295 visits. The engagement utilised a range of engagement tactics including a Councillor video, onsite signage, social media posts and the distribution of 19,650 flyers. The City engaged the Stirling LEADS (Leadership, Empowerment, Achievement, Development, and Service) team to provide advice on the project and to help ensure that the project captured the voices and ideas of our local young people. In response, a youth-driven school workshop process was developed to help refine the submission ideas. More than 200 young people participated in the school workshops including students from Inglewood Primary School, Ishar Multi Cultural Women Youth Group and Mount Lawley Senior High School which included the creation of dioramas presented at a school fair.
Influence	The top three ideas captured from the submissions are: 1. Basketball. 2. Skate / scooter street style plaza. 3. Mountain bike trail. At the school workshops, 15 groups voted on the principles and ideas that would make a great space. Inclusion, safety, accessibility and minimising the impact on the bushland were identified as key principles. The top ideas that would make a great space included: • Impactful: Café; basketball court / with net; pop-up space for all things such as events outdoor cinema, food stalls, markets, and music events. • Negotiables: Keeping safe and connected - charging, wi-fi, lockers; BBQs; graffiti wall / mural wall. • Essentials: Toilets, bins, parking. The Inglewood Youth Parkland Engagement Outcomes is included in Attachment 1.

Options Summary

The following options were considered.

OPTIONS	
1.	Concept A Basketball and netball courts, mountain bike jumps and trails, flying fox, social enterprise hub building, skate/BMX/scooter, Ninja adventure exercise course, outdoor gym/swings, car parking/ pedestrian and vehicular access, open green space and shade trees.
2.	Concept B Mountain bike jumps and trails, skate/BMX/scooter, car parking/pedestrian and vehicular access, open green space and shade trees.

Recommended Action

For Council to receive Concept Plan A and B and the associated cost estimates.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

[Community and Stakeholder Engagement Policy](#)

[Leisure Planning Policy](#)

[City of Stirling Skate and BMX Strategy](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

City of Stirling Community Infrastructure Plan (draft)

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
30 May 2023	0523/049	That a report be PRESENTED to Council identifying the proposed scope, estimated cost and timeframe for the provision of a skate and BMX facility at the triangular open space next to Stirling Leisure Centres – Terry Tyzack Aquatic Centre, Inglewood.

21 November 2023	1123/016	1. That Council CONSIDERS listing \$100,000 on the 2023/2024 mid-year budget review to prepare a concept design and capital cost estimate for the proposed Inglewood Parkland. 2. That Council ENGAGES with the community to seek their views on a proposed vision for the proposed Inglewood Parkland to inform the preparation of a concept design. 3. That a further report be PRESENTED to Council following the preparation of a concept design and cost estimate for the proposed Inglewood Parkland.
------------------	----------	---

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our community

Objective: An active and healthy City

Priority: Facilitate a range of recreation and leisure opportunities for everyone in the City

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Community	The City will ensure that it engages with the community in accordance with its Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Inglewood Youth Parkland Engagement Outcomes Final [↓](#)

Attachment 2 - Inglewood Parkland Concept Plan A [↓](#)

Attachment 3 - Inglewood Parkland Concept Plan B [↓](#)

Attachment 4 - Inglewood Youth Concept A - Cost Estimate [↓](#)

Attachment 5 - Inglewood Youth Concept B - Cost Estimate [↓](#)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.

Inglewood Youth Parkland Engagement Outcomes

The City is seeking inspiration to inform the preparation of an Inglewood Youth Parkland concept plan.

In response to the current and future needs of young people (and their families) the City is planning a multi-purpose parkland facility at the triangular open space next to Stirling Leisure Centres – Terry Tyzack Aquatic Centre, Inglewood.

The proposed Inglewood Youth Parkland focuses on young people within the 12 – 20 age group and will consider a range of amenities that responds to the needs of our younger community.

To help bring the project to life, we're inviting new perspectives and innovation by requesting our community share their vision for the proposed Inglewood Youth Parkland.

You told us



Engagement summary

19,650

Flyers distributed

1,295

Project page views

112

Total number of submissions
(Online, written letters and RMS)

281


Total number of ideas (includes duplicates)

38

Photo submissions received



Who we heard from



How many young people were involved?

18

Stirling LEADS

5

Ishar Multicultural Women Youth group

25

Year 6s Inglewood Primary School

25

Year 10s Mount Lawley Senior High School

128

Year 8s Mount Lawley Senior High School STEAM Fair dioramas

201

Total Young People involved



These are the top current ideas captured from the submissions

- 33** Basketball court
- 27** Skate / Scooter street style plaza
- 10** Mountain Bike Trail
- 8** Inclusive, safe, accessible
- 8** Formalised seating with shade
- 8** Tennis court
- 8** Fitness equipment
- 7** Running track / walking trail
- 7** Hangout space / space to yarn and connect
- 6** Pop up space for events, outdoor cinema, food stalls, markets, music events





These are the top ideas captured from the school workshops

Across the school workshops 15 groups voted on each idea captured from the submission process



Impactful: The big picture activities.

10 Café

9 Basketball court / with net

9 Pop up space for things such as events, outdoor cinema, food stalls, markets, music events

7 Netball courts / ring

7 Flying fox

5 Adult-tween swings / playground / nature play / slides / rope play

4 Adventure facility

4 Parkour / Ninja equipment

4 Trampolines

3 Bridge crossing Alexander Drive

3 Soccer goals

2 Basketball half court

2 Beach volley ball

2 Climbing wall

2 Fitness equipment

2 Futsal

2 Hangout space / space to yarn and connect

1 Archery

1 Bike jumps / jump line

1 BMX pump track

1 Japanese garden

1 Mountain Bike trail

1 Open space to kick footy

1 Relaxation / mindfulness space

1 Skate / scooter small skatepark

1 Skate bowl

1 Tennis Court



Negotiables: Extra things to make the space youth friendly

9 Keeping safe and connected - charging, Wi-Fi, lockers

7 BBQs

4 Graffiti wall / Mural wall

4 Picnic spots

4 Tree canopy / shade

3 Gazebos - hireable for parties

3 Native plants

3 Seating - formalised and shaded

3 Trees to climb

2 Bike parking

2 Bright colours (design phase)

1 Artwork by young people

1 Lighting - art

1 Shade sails



Essentials: Items that are needed to make the space function

13 Toilets

10 Bins

7 Parking

6 Lighting

3 Safety / CCTV

3 Drinking fountains

2 Seating

1 Tree planting



Principles: What is the difference between a good space and a great space?

12 Inclusive, safe, accessible

9 Minimise impact to the bushland

6 Accessibility access


6 Improving health for young people




Photo submissions received













Submissions in response to the question what is your vision for this space?



This is a snapshot of what the 17 or younger age group told us

"This space needs a basketball court. Even if its a half court, would be nice."

"Basketball court with lights."

"Running track, a 3x3 basketball court with seating around it for my Mum and Dad to watch me play, and a long skate section to go up and back."



Basketball

"Expand Terry Tyzack aquatic Centre and have large water slides like adventure World."



Water-play

"I am 11 and live close. It would be cool to have a place to go with friends where we could try lots of different activities and hang out and be safe."



Hangout space / space to yarn and connect

"Mountain biking trails I know lots of my friends have been wanting a mountain biking trails close by so we don't have to travel to Fremantle and go to the Mountain bike trails there as it is a long way to go by having trails closer by will be more convenient."

"I would like to see this space turned into a cool pump track. Next year when I start high school I will ride past this park every day and it would be cool to have fun on the pump track on my way home."



BMX pump track

"A small skate park in the corner of the park small to big jumps and smaller jumps for the kids."

"I see in my mind kids playing on sport courts, skate ramps, super tall slides, epic equipment, a rocking ship, an automatic train, trampolines and kids swimming in a pool running around on obstacle courses, eating at a cafe, with a toilet in in and kids going fishing with their parents."



Skate / scooter street style plaza



This is a snapshot of what the 18 - 24 age group told us

"My vision for this space is a water park, with slides and other aquatic activities."



Water-play

"Space have more greenery. Trees and beautiful landscape garden where young people can meditate and enjoy nature. City has become overcrowded and too many sensory overload stimulants as a result of overcrowding. Disabled people have no space in the City really. Make this a sensory friendly place."



Relaxation / mindfulness space

"Basketball/netball court, gym equipment, Skate and mountain biking track."



Basketball / netball

"Perhaps an outdoor gym could be integrated into this space similar to the one at Scarborough Beach."



Fitness equipment

"Parking so as not to encroach on pool parking."



Parking



This is a snapshot of what the 25 - 34 age group told us

"A 'toned down' skate park. None of the whiz-bang stuff that non skaters think looks cool but is actually super impractical. It needs flat ground, low rails, small ramps suitable for street skaters, not just BMX users. Councils love going for "wow" factor and implement giant skate bowls (see Scarborough and Percy Doyle) but 80% of skaters can't skate it. Look into Waterloo and Glebe in Sydney, Riverside in Melbourne. Best in Perth are Kwinana and Crimea, and possibly the new Bayswater one."

"There is already a large skate park just down the road. It would be good to provide an area that youth who don't skate can enjoy."



Skate / scooter street style plaza

"Playgrounds, BBQ and picnic spots, trees and nature, walking trails, space for food trucks/ coffee."

"I think this area is crying out for a wine bar/eatery and coffee shop to support the proposed space."



Picnic spots / café

"I believe that this space would suit perfectly a professional athletic running track to promote intermediate & advanced running training for community use. Even if it's just 2-3x 100m straight tracks on an easily maintainable synthetic grass turf, in amongst other ideas/uses for the total space."



Running track

"The mountain bike/skatepark/climbing wall as seen in Scarborough would be a great addition."

"I would be very sceptical of a mountain bike trail. The one in the City of Fremantle has been disastrous for the local community, crime in the area has gone up. A small minority are there to cause damage and upset, however that small minority is causing a lot of damage, police are being called frequently, and police cameras are now stationed there. That I know of there have been 2 arrests of children (1 for throwing rocks at passing cars the other for threatening people with a knife and defecating in the hole of the nearby golf course).



BMX pump track

"Skatepark, basketball court and calisthenics bars for workouts."

"A community basketball court and skate park, That is accessible, welcoming to all, and made with sustainable materials."

"An outdoor basketball court, as well as a mountain bike pump track. Just like the facility that has been built at Lake Monger."



Basketball

"This place should be designed like Mills Park in Beckenham. One of the best parks in Perth or Bina Parkland in Balga incorporating all a park has to offer from 24/7 basketball and tennis courts, turf soccer pitches to casual plays, walking trails and playground for children."



Adult-tween swings / playground / nature play / slides / rope play



This is a snapshot of what the 35 - 44 age group told us

"Skate park and BMX track with shade options."

"A multipurpose youth centered skatepark and congregation space."

"We would like a skatepark and a basketball court with some seating for my parents. SMALL (2ft - 3ft high) skate BOWL with seating/ shade/ toilets that is kid/ beginner friendly. There are many plaza/ quarter pipe style skate facilities (Bina Parkland, Crimea, the new Mt Hawthorn development), but no beginner/ small skate bowls. The ones that exist are VERY popular and such a great facility for kids on scooters/ skateboards/ BMX bikes."



Skate / scooter street style plaza

"I think a footbridge/cycling bridge that runs over the bridge and connects the two spaces together would not only further encourage usage of both spaces, but keep the youth safe when coming from the suburbs on the reserve side of the road."

"Living near Wordsworth Avenue, I have seen many near misses of people trying to cross Alexander Drive from the reserve. There is little to slow down the traffic between Central Avenue and Woodrow Avenue."



Bridge crossing

"I have two young kids under 4 but would love for them to be able to utilise this space along with older kids. I like the ideas listed as example but my main thing I want to see is that the space is a sustainable greenscape and doesn't become a harsh concrete jungle. As you already have a main road next to the space so something to soften that would be amazing."

"A rewilding area that is for nature learning and recreation. Trails biking for older kids, creek beds that capture rain for younger kids. A place where kids explore and get messy, learn risk taking under guidance. Contribute back to country with a huge range of native plant areas, native edible plants & extensive tree canopy that encourages the amazing bird population of the area. Comprehensively co-designed with First Nations peoples."



Tree canopy / shade

"An Urban Pump Track for kids aged 4 and up. and an accessible playground."

"Pump track would be great. Care needed to get the target ages right. The Lake Monger one is great, but kids of different ages and abilities mix on the one track. Suggest splitting them out a little bit, so that it can be safe and fun for the younger ones and challenging for the older kids."

"A bike park that integrates with vegetation in the area, earthen ramps and tracks interspersed by trees to provide shade and connection to the natural environment."



BMX pump track

"A space that will engage and excite older kids and encourage them to want to be outside and engage with other kids of their age in the area. Make it easier to get them outside and off devices."

"Outdoor ninja warrior playground and rock climbing wall (like at Scarborough beach)."



Parkour / Ninja equipment

"I would love to see some multi function courts built. Basketball/netball/tennis. With a potential skate park as well. Similar to Scarborough."

"McCallum Park is a great example. I'm an active basketball player and good outdoor facilities are scarce North of the river. It's well perceived by all ages and there's a real community in McCallum Park."

"We are in desperate need for skate/basketball facilities nearby. Skate park suitable for all levels. Basketball half courts. Native landscaping and trees for shade. Toilet facilities onsite. Night time lighting. Seating and bbq facilities. Grass areas for picnics, ball sports. Parking nearby - parents will be the ones bringing kids (no parking = underuse)."

"Enclosed dog park, cafe, skate park, basketball courts, nice landscaping."



Basketball



This is a snapshot of what the 45 - 54 age group told us

"It would be best to leave this land as it is. Or sell it to a developer for aged care. A youth park may attract some unwanted youth and young adults to a nice neighbourhood. The lack of road crossing controls on alexander drive may also be a cause for concern. Imagine droves of teenagers with their face in their phones crossing the roads. That is an accident waiting to happen. With Aged Care facilities in the vicinity as well. A youth park may not be very welcomed."



Bridge crossing

"An active space to engage youth in activities that interest them- basketball, skate park, parkour course, monkey bars and climbing obstacles, tennis wall and half court. Fenced dog activity/agility area so animals kept away from kids areas safely."

"An outdoor active space for youth and young adults that can be used for daily recreation as well as hosting pop up events (outdoor cinema, demos, etc.)"

"Futsal pitches like at Mount Lawley Bowls Club and a skatepark."



Hireable clubroom / room / space Pop up space for things such as events, outdoor cinema, food stalls, markets, music events

A forest of trees and vegetation."

"A back to Nature replanting with large trees and fallen logs on ground bringing back bird life bees to area like Yokine playground.

Skateboard circuit centre of triangle and low elevation perimeter mountain bike trail with log crossings and tunnels similar to Britain park Mt Hawthorn."



Tree canopy / shade

"I think we can take some inspiration from the new Belgravia East Fremantle community space. They seem to have ticked all boxes in appealing to a wide range. The addition of a cafe would give the youth a place to meet up, chill and socialise with their friends."



Café

"Chatting with my three teenagers, they would like a full undercover basketball court and an area with gym equipment, water fountain, benches and undercover seating, also an enclosed area for dogs."

"A place for teens to hang out. An adult play ground or just hang. Parkour equipment, fitness equipment, climbing equipment, adult swing, Skate path (roller skates) seating area with shade (also for carers) seating similar to yarnning circle for kids to sit around. Drinking fountains, bbq's."

"Social/ safe place for kids to be."



Hangout space / space to yarn and connect

"Would love to see some basketball courts. We have three kids all whom love to play basketball. The clubs/courts in Mount Hawthorn/Morley/East Perth are all oversubscribed - it can be tough to get into a team. It would be so beneficial to have a rec centre that could cater for basketball/indoor soccer/volleyball etc."

"Outdoor Basketball Courts. A mixture of full size court/s and shooting hoop/s that would be floodlit in the evenings. Young people are currently using some of the basketball courts in the local schools."

"Would love to see Basketball courts and netball rings for teenagers and youth . Exercise equipment."

"Create basketball courts and tennis courts in the space. The courts should have lighting until 10pm. The courts could be administered and entered at subsidised price via by the Terry Tyzack Leisure Centre nearby."



Basketball / netball / tennis

"Street style Skateboard and half pipe."

"We're in dire need of a good skate park, basketball courts, dirt bike trails, climbing frames or parkour course."



Skate / scooter street style plaza BMX pump track



This is a snapshot of what the 55 - 64 age group told us

"Well, an under road walkway as well as an entry and exit onto Alexander Drive, with ample bays facing both towards and away from Alexander Drive. Planting trees amongst the parking area to enhance the climate change. Instead of Men's Shed, call it Youth Yard. Horticulture, automotive, solar and wind generation etc."



Bridge crossing

"Teen/Youth space sounds like a great us. It would need to have facilities that make it a destination (courts/BMX etc) as its it not on the way to anywhere."

"Please look at the Inglis Park: Randwick's Mega Playground & Dining Precinct. This has an amazing kids and family play area."

"Outdoor cinema, weekly night markets with food trucks and entertainment. Weekly Sunday farmer market with face painting and bouncy castle."



Pop up space for things such as events, outdoor cinema, food stalls, markets, music events

"Replacement of the tree canopy lost by the destruction of trees on the adjacent Mt Lawley Golf Course. An interactive adventure playground underneath the trees."



Tree canopy / shade

"An active area for the young kids. Basketball Hoop. Half court. Mountain bike trail. Skateboarding bowls. Etc"



Basketball

"The suggestions mentioned so far are excellent. The design and layout needs to follow CPTED principles and be well lit during non daylight hours. Structures need to consider how they are positioned/ laid out to ensure visibility of all areas at all times."

"I don't understand who came up with this idea what committee but there is Yokine reserve across the road. To me no logic in this. Skateparks etc you have mentioned will cause more problems with youths loitering around. Expand Terry Tyzack swimming pool."

"My vision is please leave it as it is. Why does the council feel the need to constantly infill our parks, instead of leaving some nice open space. This location which I walk occasionally is quite noisy with adjacent traffic and lacks easy access or parking. Find somewhere else or even better save some \$ and stop putting the rates up."

"Certainly not a youth area. It's putting them at risk, being such an isolated space with no residential or businesses nearby. Nowhere for them to turn to should they be getting harassed, bullied, or at any stage feeling threatened or intimidated. Also, too easy to be preyed on."



Safety / CCTV

"I'd love to see this space utilised to provide facilities that residents don't want near their homes due to noise. The unique thing about this piece of land is that it does not border any residential areas. This provides the opportunity for things like basketball courts, and skate bowls."

"I don't have a specific idea for the space, however this is a unique place as it does not border residential properties. This space could accommodate a skate park as well as a family friendly setting and playground."



Skate / scooter small skatepark



This is a snapshot of what the 65 - 74 age group told us

"A place for teenagers and young adults to enjoy leisure activities."

"Enclosed stage area where young people can get up and practice their performing skills. Giant blackboard graffiti wall so budding artists can create in a public space. A young people's community run garden. A "quiet" space with maybe a pond with seating around it for those young people who just want a bit of "time out" such as those young people who are full-time carers."

"A link between the aquatic centre and the designated areas. More water play. Trampolines set into a pit (for safety)."

"Walls for climbing. Please NO skateboard areas."

What a great opportunity to prepare our next generational youth for adulthood and the complex modern world. Invision a suburban "bootcamp" style workshop space (indoor and out), where they can learn to build resilience, real life skills and strategies, not taught in school or at home."



Pop up space for things such as events, outdoor cinema, food stalls, markets, music events

"Social Housing. Council can manage them and get a rental return on investment. (Private enterprise does not do it better!) I'm totally against the youth recreational idea and I feel you are wasting ratepayers funds."



Housing



This is a snapshot of what the 75+ age group told us

"Leave it as is. There is a games field behind it, and other close by. We need open spaces, preferably filled with native plants and trees. Not more cement, car parks etc."



Tree canopy / shade

"Outdoor exercise equipment, basketball courts, tennis wall, cafe for the young, security supervision, good lighting."

"Basketball, as the nearest indoor courts and training is in Wembley. Definitely not a skateboard park as they are a new one on the corner of Alexander Drive. Lovely indoor basketball courts - as an international game now."



Basketball / netball / tennis

"I agree with council vision - skate park, BMX, nature play area."

"Skate park with facility to accommodate from young to teen children.
Full size basketball courts for all ages.
BMX Track."



**Skate / scooter street style plaza
BMX pump track**

"Re-treed back to native bush to replace the trees that have been cut down on the adjacent golf course. To provide trees, nest areas and habitat for the Carnaby's black cockatoo to replace what has been removed."

"I don't care what goes into the park but. I would not be supportive if the trees were chopped down or the activities cause undue noise and/or bring into the suburb undesirable behaviour."



Tree canopy / shade



Adventure facility

"My suggestion is to build a "Beginners", "Intermediate" and "Advanced", Adventure training facility on the Inglewood Youth Parkland. The three courses will test students, as they develop in experience, height, strength, stamina, and agility through their high school years."



Written submissions via the City's customer service channels

"There is a Stirling sign on a grass field next to Terry Tyzack recreation area looking for suggestions on what to do with the land. Am I in the correct place? My suggestion is to put in PICKLE BALL courts. I don't think there are ANY pickle ball courts in the city as of yet and the sport is very popular around the world and gaining popularity very quickly because all ages can play this game and can be picked up very quickly and very cost efficient to get the gear and to start playing. There are no noise issues since there aren't any residential homes in the area and it makes perfect sense being located right next to Terry Tyzack. The area can be accessed via the road at the back of Terry Tyzack as well so there would be no need to enter from Alexander Drive. Lights can also be placed in the courts for night use. You can fit three of these pickle ball courts in the size of one tennis court. The sport has taken off quite quickly in California and many places around the world. I think there are some new courts in Floreat right across from the Forum. I would be happy to help further if need be."

Dear Officer in charge of Leisure section,

Please forgive my literacy I am too old to learn.

You wanted ideas for the park behind Terry Tyzack Pool.

These are a few ideas for that area:

- 1) Mini golf. Balls and club to be hired out from pool.
- 2) A rebound soccer net
- 3) A basketball ring
- 4) A wall marked as a Tennis court for people to practice against.

P.S The many old people in the village on Freedman Road will be able to just walk to the park with their grandchildren.

Thank you for your consideration.



Submissions in response to the question what is your vision for this space?



This is a snapshot of responses that do not support a Youth Parkland

"It would be best to leave this land as it is. Or sell it to a developer for aged care. A youth park may attract some unwanted youth and young adults to a nice neighbourhood. The lack of road crossing controls on Alexander Drive may also be a cause for concern. Imagine droves of teenagers with their face in their phones crossing the roads. That is an accident waiting to happen. With Aged Care facilities in the vicinity as well. A youth park may not be very welcomed."

"Stop trying to find ways to spend/waste money."



45 - 54 age group

"The suggestions mentioned so far are excellent. The design and layout needs to follow CPTED principles and be well lit during non daylight hours. Structures need to consider how they are positioned/ laid out to ensure visibility of all areas at all times."

"I don't understand who came up with this idea what committee but there is Yokine reserve across the road. To me no logic in this. Skateparks etc you have mentioned will cause more problems with youths loitering around. Expand Terry Tyzack swimming pool."

"My vision is please leave it as it is. Why does the council feel the need to constantly infill our parks, instead of leaving some nice open space. This location which I walk occasionally is quite noisy with adjacent traffic and lacks easy access or parking. Find somewhere else or even better save some \$ and stop putting the rates up."

"Certainly not a youth area. It's putting them at risk, being such an isolated space with no residential or businesses nearby. Nowhere for them to turn to should they be getting harassed, bullied, or at any stage feeling threatened or intimidated. Also, too easy to be preyed on."

"Well, an under road walkway as well as an entry and exit onto Alexander Drive, with ample bays facing both towards and away from Alexander Drive. Planting trees amongst the parking area to enhance the climate change. Instead of Men's Shed, call it Youth Yard. Horticulture, automotive, solar and wind generation etc."



55 - 64 age group

"Social Housing. Council can manage them and get a rental return on investment. (Private enterprise does not do it better!) I'm totally against the youth recreational idea and I feel you are wasting ratepayers funds."

"Leave it as is. There is a games field behind it, and other close by. We need open spaces, preferably filled with native plants and trees. Not more cement, car parks etc."



65 - 74 age group

"Re-treed back to native bush to replace the trees that have been cut down on the adjacent golf course. To provide trees, nest areas and habitat for the Carnaby's black cockatoo to replace what has been removed."

"I don't care what goes into the park but. I would not be supportive if the trees were chopped down or the activities cause undue noise and/or bring into the suburb undesirable behaviour."



75+ age group



Written submissions via the City's customer service channels

"Can the council leave the area next to Terry Tyzack pool as is? It's a nice area to come to just sit on the grass when the other areas of grass are too busy. Yokine reserve and other park ok Homer Street are always being used for sports. No green grass to sit and relax."

Sir / Madame Good Morning,
As a 78 year old pensioner confused with email. Is it possible this handwritten thought could survive and be considered. The area you propose is bounded by the golf club and Terry Tyzack Pool – both will immediately build high level walls / fences to keep any possible trouble out. This development now fronts Alexandar Drive, if this idea develops as you plan any these youngsters will cross Alexandar Drive – dangerous and possibly merger with main park.
I know this is a negative, Yokine, Menora, Mount Lawley are truly crime free suburbs, what this will do is attract others! Will the Council supervise – does this need security?
Could you realistically plan for 12 – 20 year olds from chewing gum – vodka.
Yes I am a local rate payer, but live far enough away to not be concerned for myself.
Within two years troublemakers from the northern suburbs will control.
I'm 78 with a medical condition so whatever you do will not attract me. I will be in the playground in heaven two hope.
Sincerely concerned.

"Why don't you plant a forest so that our youth have a future."



Submissions in response to the question any additional comments you would like to share?



Additional comments from 17 or younger age group

"Since there are no trails nearby me and my friends had to resort to making trails at Hamer Park because there is nowhere for us to ride and have fun nearby."

"Dirt/gravel - NOT asphalt/tarmac."

"Easy to go to from Yokine Reserve."

"I think this would be cool 🤖 😊."

"Lots of trees to climb! It should be colourful and cheerful. Also a big tree to relax under."

"This is important to get the older kids out and active."

"A mountain bike course would be good to do."

"Make it an area where teenagers can hang out and because it is relatively far away from houses can be a bit louder. Have access to Terry Tyzack to buy drinks/snacks etc."

"Maybe also a central hub/ hall /teen cafe / hangout / arts centre/ can be hired by the community for parties etc."

"This is a great idea for the youth to get in and make a change for themselves."

"Pop up food stalls."

"Live music."

"We should have a basketball court as it is a very popular sport now and me and my friends can catch the bus from school to the court. I also am too poor to afford my own basketball hoop so this would help me go pro."

"This would be such a good idea to put a basketball court as it is very close to me and I don't have to pay to play."

"Make the backboard glass and the net polyester don't use wood backboards and don't use any other nets."

"Doing sports are good for your body."

"I think encouraging the wildlife would be good because it can help endangered species like the Red-Tailed Black Cockatoo. You can have native trees for the animals to roost, make their home in, and feed off, (especially the black cockatoos.) and it will provide shade for everyone. We should put in soccer goals because lots of people love soccer including me."



Additional comments from 18 - 24 age group

"City does not need disabilities unfriendly facilities such as BMX park. There is already that in Dianella. Youth have run out of natural spaces to wind down and relax. Japanese garden is disabled friendly and educational for youth. Youth can appreciate nature over BMX. The pool is already activity space for youth."

"Wonderful idea as a very underused area."

"I think creating a water park in the IYP would bring the community together, and be an interactive hub for youth."



Additional comments from 25 - 34 age group

"Golfers are constantly having these children throw rocks at them. The city won't answer how many ambulances have been called due to accidents and they have actually cut the water taps off to prevent these children throwing water balloons at cars driving past. Absolute nightmare. Unfortunately, a trail like that cannot operate safely unless it is operated with staff on the ground monitoring anti-social behaviour."

"It isn't too close to residents - while also providing something for residences."

"Make it easier to cross Alexander Drive. We live near Terry Tyzack, trying to cross Alexander Drive from our side to the Yokine Regional Open Space where we take the kids to play can take five or more minutes around peak hour, it's quite stressful and its sometime easier to drive across. Doing something to connect the Terry Tyzack side to the Yokine Regional Open Space side for walkers and cyclist in a safer and more convenient way would be great."

"Other ideas include a squash wall, basketball half court, chilling gazebo and charging station. Make sure you involve the right people. Speak to local skaters and youth workers, artists, local youth-oriented businesses etc. Don't be risk adverse or scared off by possible anti-social behaviour"

"The Yokine area is lacking basketball courts. As a new mum I worry about the lack of welcoming and attractive areas for teenagers and youths in our area and think utilizing this space for this purpose is a great idea."

"A multifunctional park not only for youth but for all ages including dogs."

"Please no skate park."

"Skatepark can be similar to Leederville. Even add a kids playground next to it like Leederville, kids playground would be closer to the swimming pools."



Additional comments from 35 - 44 age group

"I think connectivity is important."

"How can local kids get there without pestering parents to drive them. How can we develop this site without adding even more car-based infrastructure i.e, car bays, intersections etc."

"Skate park and BMX track with shade options."

"Security and deterring unsocial behaviour must be heavily considered."

"I have lived opposite Terry Tyzack for 18 years now and have used the space over the years for dog walking. I think it's great the city is looking to do something with this unique space."

"It's great to see this space getting a revamp."

"The area has plenty of open green areas but lacks decent (new) infrastructure for children to play on."

"Kids in this area need places to hang out, that are safe and not hidden away from sight where issues can happen."

"Try to create relaxing spaces where they can chill and chat to their friends. Would be great to incorporate trees and nice planting especially by the road to close it off and make it feel more country rather than so urban. A fence needs to be put up by the road."



Additional comments from 35 - 44 age group continued

"We need more cafes next to parks for example Light Street Reserve and Yokine Open Space."

"Bike track also please."

"I would love to be included in any workshops or focus groups related to the IYP and would greatly appreciate some feedback on the attached submission! Thanks!"

"There are already so many playgrounds for small children that I don't think that needs to be a part of the Youth project. Having said that, everyone enjoys a swing, so why not add a couple in!"

"Using this space to better bushland and life assists and provides hope to the future in a drying climate and where dying trees are especially evident can invoke despair for young people (and old)."

"That the park is intrinsically of its place, what is the history of the ecology of the area? That the park caters for youth of varying ages and varying abilities. Not everyone is able bodied and this must be an inclusive design."

"Appropriate lighting if required."

"A place that you could host a small outdoor function given that We're Living in smaller dwellings."

"Safety across Alexander Drive will be very important, given the speed of traffic along the road and the age of likely future users of the space (and the likely desire line coming from the paths in Yokine Reserve). I suspect a light controlled pedestrian crossing will be required, so it would be worth engaging with MRWA sooner rather than later."

"Please listen to the residents/young people that live the closest to this area. Please do not install equipment that is either not fit for purpose, not technically correct. Please do not limit use to daytime only. Please install cameras for safety and have good lines of sight for all areas of the park."

"Basketball courts."

"My son wrote this he loves doing tricks on his scooter but we never have time because there so far away."

"Small sized skate bowls are a great element for kids learning to scoot / skate. There are very few small bowls around, with the new ones being built all on the large size (Scarborough, Bayswater, Percy Doyle when complete, Cottesloe when complete) - but this precludes many kids from ever using them as the size is too large. Small bowls can be smaller than a plaza and take up less room, while also providing a great place to learn for kids and adults alike."



Additional comments from 45 - 54 age group

"Please come up with something else instead of a youth park. The serenity of this area will be affected if a youth park is put up."

"So great council is catering for this age group now, have slowly seen all the nearby parks lose even play equipment that they could enjoy, like high monkey bars, swings etc and replace with nature-based equipment for younger kids. Really nothing in area for this age group now."

"My teen is social and enjoys going to hang with friends at the park. Often they just sit there and chat, it would be great to have something that they would use and have some fun too."

"Stop trying to find ways to spend/waste money."

"I live in this area...there's plenty of activities and spaces around here for kids...but, increasingly there are less and less trees that provide more benefits for the health of our children and general environment than any 'built environment' can ever have, in the short, medium and long term. Plant trees."

"Parking near the courts if possible, for parent drop off pick up."

"Love to see something similar to Bunbury foreshore but with more shade, natural trees to provide shade and shade cloth over some areas to improve useability in hot weather. My kids would utilise both and others."

"It is such a big area, so it would be a waste to only put one type of attraction there."

"Would love to see Basketball courts and netball rings for teenagers and youth. Exercise equipment."

"There are no skate parks anywhere near Yokine. We have a huge reserve and so many teens needing a safe place to skate and scooter."

"To eliminate young teenagers, urge to build their own tracks and ramps in local areas let's supply a safe area under trees that can be safely accessed both sides of Alexander Drive. I feel we supply plenty of nature play for young kids but not enough for teenagers."

"Fremantle has a great youth space on The Esplanade that is heavily used by young people for the above purposes."

"Whatever the area becomes the three main things we are concerned about are:

Security: Cameras and lights at night

Road safety for children: A pedestrian bridge across Alexander Drive

Existing antisocial behaviour: Keep areas open/no hidden spots and keep kids facilities away from back of pool road and golf course bushes."

"Space for a food truck and or coffee van to park on weekends so that kids and parents can get food."

"Community facilities are subject to damage and graffiti by some members of the community. Therefore, I believe the courts should be administered by the nearby Terry Tyzack Leisure centre. The courts would need to be booked in advance and accessed via the Leisure centre entrance. I would suggest charging a subsidised nominal fee to use the courts to cover costs for lighting and maintenance."

"You could also have a small enclosed area a paid for area that is managed by a professional organisation or administered by COS staff that includes a practice jump pit. Like the big one in QLD by cousin who is into his BMX would have to fly to QLD to go to the big indoor pit to safely practice his jumps and flips before he could do them outdoors."



Additional comments from 55 - 64 age group

"Greater care of the bushland in the golf course adjacent to the park so that the two facilities merge together as a green space would be a great result. Currently the derelict bushland on the other side of the fence in an eyesore."

"This area is for the youth who will be driving, so parking is vital as is public amenities and being so environmentally friendly that it becomes a platform for future developments."

"It should have plenty of shade."

"Have not thought about the whole process of issues that will arise from bad behaviour etc."

"I just thought you would have realised more space for Terry Tyzack facilities that is open to everyone."

"I believe that a pedestrian overpass should be built between these areas for the safety of the residents."

"Had issues with youth in our area in December in damaging cars, cutting and damaging Christmas decorations. Security were fantastic when contacted but when you see a group of them not safe to approach."

"Yokine Open Space, Terry Tyzack and now this potential youth parkland are frequented by a large portion of the neighbourhood. The biggest problem is Alexander Drive separates them and at certain times of the day is difficult to cross due to the heavy traffic conditions."

"Ideal place for active sports for younger people. Not close to housing, so noise shouldn't be an issue."

"A drop off recycling centre would be good as people find Balcatta too far. i.e, for aerosol cans etc. Or a local mixed access tools shed for both men and women. And where youth can be trained in some basics."



Additional comments from 65 - 74 age group

"Thank you for doing this. We certainly need as much green space as we can get - I have noticed a large increase in the pressure on these spaces since Covid. Popular parks such as Yokine can have a lot of pressure on parking."

"There are countless parks around Mount Lawley / Inglewood. Surely there is a corner in Hamer Park, Yokine reserve, the park behind the soccer club and no doubt lots more, do we need more recreational reserves?"

"Outdoor exercise equipment, basketball courts, tennis wall, cafe for the young, security supervision, good lighting."

"It is a wonderful opportunity for the Council to get together with the State and create a residential area for people that are in need. It provides great facilities very close by, such as the pool, great parks, bus service on the doorstep."

"Maybe see what the State Government is going to plonk in the ECU space. That will probably be a hell of a surprise."

"Doesn't seem to be enough parks dedicated to sport particular for teens around Stirling specially around this area."



Additional comments from 65 - 74 continued age group

"Yokine open space is a huge space but sadly has no basketball courts or skate area for youth. So, this additional park dedicated to teens will be great."

"There are loads of recreational land on the other side of the highway. Also, lots of never used playground facilities dotted around in parks amongst the housing suburbs. Don't need more kids' stuff."

"What are you going to do about the annoying basketball hoops at private properties. Bounce, Bounce, Bounce. Can you ban them? So awful if someone has one and kids next door. The bounce alone is annoying but then there is the screaming and yelling."

"Please retain the trees and open space. There is so little of it around now."

"Noise shouldn't be a concern as no-one would be living close by. Noise was a major concern expressed by people living close to Macaulay Park when public information sessions were held to discuss the upgrade in that area. Not enough facilities are provided for teenagers and young adults."

"It would be good if the space also hosted monthly quirky intergenerational events/competitions e.g. who can create the weirdest hat? Egg and spoon races. Talent quest etc."

"The area needs to avoid attracting 'gangs'. Consider the noise factor directly across to Wordsworth Ave. That is where the closest houses are located. The residences in Homer St are separated by the golf course...hence less noise for those residents."

"Non-existent early intervention and a serious lack of "Traditional initiations" into adulthood is ill preparing our children. Many issues - like mental health are serious problems. Not able to be solved by any one sector in our society and causing much distress in families and communities. Dedicating a specific space for ongoing appropriate and essential programs would fill the gaps not catered for by any other social activity."


"I am a senior and think it is an excellent idea for this age group and can easily support the loss of this public open space for this new purpose. Liaising with swim pool to share parking, toilets, refreshments? Screening foliage along boundary with busy Alexander Drive?"




Additional comments from 75+ age group

"As mentioned above, it is not necessary to fill green spaces, especially when they are so close to a main road and would require additional car spaces etc."

"An Adventure facility on the Inglewood Youth Parkland will contribute in future medical and community savings through a positive improvement in the promotion of personal physical fitness, mental well-being, leadership and teamwork skills in the City's youth."





LEGEND

- ▬ VEHICULAR ACCESS
- ▬ PEDESTRIAN ACCESS
- OPEN GRASSED AREAS
- PICNIC FACILITIES
BBQ / TABLES / SHELTER
- ★ PUBLIC TOILET
- B EXISTING BUS STOP



SKATE PARK



MOUNTAIN BIKE JUMPS / TRAIL



OPEN GRASSED AREAS



**Inglewood Youth Parkland
Concept A - Cost Estimate**

Item No	Item Description	Unit Cost	Quantity	Cost Ex GST
	Consultants	\$ 600,000.00	1.00	\$ 600,000.00
	Preliminaries	5%		\$ 350,000.00
	Site Works and servicing	\$ 150,000.00	1.00	\$ 150,000.00
	Roadworks & Car Parking	\$ 1,423,000.00	1.00	\$ 1,423,000.00
	Hub	\$ 1,100,000.00	1.00	\$ 1,100,000.00
	Multipurpose Courts	\$ 350,000.00	1.00	\$ 350,000.00
	Courts grandstand seating	\$ 100,000.00	1.00	\$ 100,000.00
	Courts and floodlighting	\$ 400,000.00	1.00	\$ 400,000.00
	Flying Fox	\$ 100,000.00	1.00	\$ 100,000.00
	Mountain Bike	\$ 500,000.00	1.00	\$ 500,000.00
	Skate/BMX Track	\$ 800,000.00	1.00	\$ 800,000.00
	Adventure Fitness Park	\$ 250,000.00	1.00	\$ 250,000.00
	Outdoor Gym & Swings	\$ 400,000.00	1.00	\$ 400,000.00
	Running Track	\$ 250,000.00	1.00	\$ 250,000.00
	Picnic Facilities	\$ 115,000.00	1.00	\$ 115,000.00
	Lighting (exc street lights)	\$ 250,000.00	1.00	\$ 250,000.00
	Ancillary Landscape Works	\$ 200,000.00	1.00	\$ 200,000.00
	Irrigation	\$ 100,000.00	1.00	\$ 100,000.00
	Establishment Maintenance (13 weeks)	\$ 15,000.00	1.00	\$ 15,000.00
	Public Art (2% of total - not including consultants and below ground works)	2%		\$ 129,760.00
	Sub-total			\$ 7,582,760.00
	Contingency	20%		\$ 1,516,552.00
	Project management/officer time			\$ 95,542.78
	Total			\$ 9,194,854.78
	Ongoing Maintenance - per annum	\$ 250,000.00	1.00	\$ 250,000.00

Inglewood Youth Parkland
Concept B - Cost Estimate

Item No	Item Description	Unit Cost	Quantity	Cost Ex GST
	Consultants	\$ 300,000.00	1.00	\$ 300,000.00
	Preliminaries	5%		\$ 200,000.00
	Site Works and servicing	\$ 75,000.00	1.00	\$ 75,000.00
	Roadworks & Car Parking	\$ 1,423,000.00	1.00	\$ 1,423,000.00
	Public Toilet	\$ 350,000.00	1.00	\$ 350,000.00
	Mountain Bike	\$ 500,000.00	1.00	\$ 500,000.00
	Skate/BMX Track	\$ 800,000.00	1.00	\$ 800,000.00
	Picnic Facilities	\$ 90,000.00	1.00	\$ 90,000.00
	Lighting (exc street lights)	\$ 125,000.00	1.00	\$ 125,000.00
	Ancillary Landscape Works	\$ 100,000.00	1.00	\$ 100,000.00
	Irrigation	\$ 50,000.00	1.00	\$ 50,000.00
	Establishment Maintenance (13 weeks)	\$ 7,500.00	1.00	\$ 7,500.00
	Public Art (2% of total - not including consultants and below ground works)	2%		\$ 70,260.00
	Sub-total			\$ 4,090,760.00
	Contingency	20%		\$ 818,152.00
	Project management/officer time			\$ 51,543.58
	Total			\$ 4,960,455.58

Ongoing Maintenance - per annum	\$ 175,000.00	1.00	\$ 175,000.00
--	---------------	------	---------------

FINANCE**F1 SCHEDULE OF ACCOUNTS FOR PERIOD 4 ENDING 31 OCTOBER 2024**

Business Unit:	Finance Services	Service: Financial Accounting
Ward:	Not Applicable	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Councillor Dudek, seconded Councillor Olow

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the schedules for Period 4, 1 October – 31 October 2024 comprising of:

- a. Cheques drawn and payments made amounting to \$32,797,549.05 and**
- b. Fuel Card Payments made amounting to \$30,460.51**

be RECEIVED.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That the schedules for Period 4, 1 October – 31 October 2024 comprising of:

- a. Cheques drawn and payments made amounting to \$32,797,549.05 and
- b. Fuel Card Payments made amounting to \$30,460.51

be RECEIVED

Purpose

To inform Council of funds disbursed for the period 1 October – 31 October 2024.

Details

The reported schedules are submitted in accordance with Regulation 13 and 13A of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996. In June 2023, the Regulations were amended to include the requirement to report payments by purchasing cards (Regulation 13A) to be implemented by local governments by September 2023.

Fuel cards are considered purchasing cards and so a separate attachment (Attachment 2) has been prepared for this transaction. Fuel cards are used for the purchase of fuel for the City's petrol vehicles and plant. The use of fuel cards is controlled with the card assigned to an individual vehicle and transactions limited to the purchase of fuel. Vehicle odometer readings are recorded for each fuel purchase. The full payment to Ampol is shown in the Payments Listing; the amount on the fuel card report may differ due to the timing of invoices.

The value of payments made in the month includes new term deposit investments of surplus cash funds. The surplus cash funds available for investment will be impacted by the City's cashflow cycle including the value of term deposits maturing in the month. The value of new term deposits is the main cause of fluctuations in the level of payments from month to month. Other factors would include progress payments made for major capital projects.

Expenditure on Agency Staffing

The tables below show the spend on agency staffing for October 2024. The actual spend of \$607,627 equates to 1.3% of the total direct staffing cost October 2024.

Agency Costs by Business Unit	Year to Date Octoberber 2024 Actual \$	% of Total Staffing Cost	Explanation
Finance Services	40,979	2.4%	Maternity leave cover
Parks & Environment	151,754	2.5%	Temporary staff to manage seasonal workload
Waste & Fleet	147,973	4.1%	Cover for Recycling Centre and Waste Collection staff
Recreation & Leisure Services	795	0.0%	Staffing of Terry Tyzack Aquatic Centre kiosk
Community Development	32,500	0.5%	Flexible staffing for Community Food Services
Corporate Information Services	8,945	0.4%	IT Project staffing
Customer & Communications	46,565	2.1%	Short term cover for Digital Officer & Graphic Designer positions
Property & Commercial Services	27,386	6.8%	Part Time Land Administration Specialist
Engineering Services	88,826	1.9%	Temporary staff for Maintenance Works
Development Services	61,905	1.8%	Temporary administration staff
Total	607,627	1.3%	

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

Regulation 13 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 requires that a list of accounts paid by the Chief Executive Officer is to be prepared each month and presented to the Council at the next ordinary meeting of Council after the list is prepared. Regulation 13A of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 requires that a list of accounts paid includes payments via purchasing cards.

[Local Government \(Financial Management\) Regulations 1996](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A well-governed City

Priority: Comply with legislation, standards and obligations

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Governance	The City will act with integrity and implement appropriate processes and controls to avoid breach of legislation.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Payment Listing - October 2024 (ECM No. 11130472) (previously circulated to Elected Members under separate cover) [↗](#)

Attachment 2 - Ampol Purchase Fuel Card Report - October 2024 (ECM No. 11130476) (previously circulated to Elected Members under separate cover) [↗](#)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.

F2 MONTHLY STATEMENT OF FINANCIAL ACTIVITY FOR THE MONTH ENDING 31 OCTOBER 2024

Business Unit:	Finance Services	Service: Financial Accounting
Ward:	Not Applicable	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Councillor Dudek, seconded Mayor Irwin

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the monthly Statement of Financial Activity and other relevant Financial Reports for the month ending 31 October 2024 be RECEIVED.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That the monthly Statement of Financial Activity and other relevant Financial Reports for the month ending 31 October 2024 be RECEIVED.

Purpose

To apprise Council of the financial position of the City in compliance with the provisions of Section 6.4 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and regulation 34 of the Local Government (Financial Management) Regulations 1996 as amended.

Details

The City's financial reporting framework provides Council, management, and employees with a broad overview of the City-Wide financial position. The format for the financial report includes:

1. A financial summary comprising a Statement of Financial Activity (Attachment 1) and an explanation of each material variance in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 34 (see below).
2. The composition of net current assets, less committed assets, and restricted assets (Attachment 2) in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 34.
3. An Investment Report (Attachment 3) detailing the performance of the investment portfolio as required by the City's Investment Policy.

Monthly Statement of Financial Activity for the Period Ending 31 October 2024

It should be noted that the statement shown as Attachment 1 only includes transactions as they relate to the Municipal Fund, and it removes the non-cash items to allow an assessment of the City's dependency on rate levies.

An explanation of major variances between YTD Actual and YTD Budget for the month ended 31 October 2024 is as follows:

General Rates

The Rates Statements issued in July 2024 generated \$253.8 million in revenue (this figure includes the Rates, Domestic Waste Charge, the Community Safety Service charge and the Emergency Services Levy revenue). \$165.5 million (65%) of this debt had been collected by 31 October 2024.

1. Other Rates Revenue

This revenue item has a positive variance to budget and relates to Rates instalment interest and Late payment penalties. The variance is due to the phasing of the budget and is expected to be a timing variance only.

2. Grants & Subsidies

This variance is due to the advance payment of the 2024/2025 Financial Assistance Grant which was received by the City in June 2024 but budgeted for in 2024/2025. This will remain as a variance until adjusted during mid-year planning and budget review.

3. Contributions, Reimbursements & Donations

This positive variance relates to Care Finder Navigation revenue received in advance and is a timing variance only. The City also received unbudgeted contributions for Street tree planting and developments.

4. Registration Licences & Permits

This revenue item has a positive variance of 14% to YTD budget, mainly due to Development Applications revenue. This is due to budget phasing, which is difficult to predict, and the number of applications being above expectations.

5. Other

This revenue item has a positive variance due to unbudgeted contributions for Cash in lieu of Public Open Space relating to developments in Wembley Downs and Scarborough. This revenue will be transferred to Reserves.

Operating Expenditure

6. Employee Costs (including Agency Staff)

The total of direct employee costs (net of the cost of Agency Personnel) was higher than budget for the year to due to:

- Staffing for City IT projects where the budget was included as contractor costs but engaging employees on a short-term basis was a cheaper and more efficient option.
- The average hourly rate paid was above budget due to an over reliance on higher cost staffing options (casual staff and overtime hours).

Internal labour recoveries were also above budget which partly offset the adverse variance. Labour recoveries relate to the transfer of internal staff time to maintenance services and capital projects and the negative labour recovery variance is offset by reduced costs in these areas.

7. Materials & Contract Direct Maintenance of Non-Current Assets

This expenditure item has a positive variance of 20% of YTD Budget due to the timing of maintenance and renewal expenditure. The largest impact is in the Parks business unit for recreation reserves maintenance, but this expected to be a timing variance only.

8. Underground Power

This adverse variance relates to the City's project management costs associated with Underground Power projects.

9. Other

This adverse variance relates to the Community Grants program, along with turf curation subsidy and rates donations to various sporting clubs. This is a timing variance only due to the phasing of the budget.

Investment Activity**10. Proceeds from Disposal of Assets & Profit / (Loss) on Disposal**

The Loss on Disposal mainly relates to the disposal of 26 Odin Drive, Balcatta and a Right of Way in Inglewood. This has been offset by the Profit on Disposal of vehicles where the sale prices of fleet vehicles have exceeded expectations.

11. Grants and Subsidies

This revenue item has a positive variance and relates the timing of capital grant revenue for the Terry Tyzack Aquatic Centre redevelopment which was budgeted in future periods.

12. Capital Expenditure

This variance mainly relates to the following:

- Capital renewals program
 - \$1.2 million variance for Hamersley Public Golf Course Redevelopment and \$0.5 million variance for Terry Tyzack Aquatic Centre redevelopment and \$0.2 million variance for Recycling Centre Balcatta Renovations – projects are on track to be completed in line with milestones.
- \$2.1 million timing variance due to road renewal expenditure and Building Renewals Program
- \$1.1 million variance due to delays in the delivery of vehicles due to supply constraints for the Fleet Replacement Program.
- \$0.7 million variance relating to IT projects which are budgeted as capital projects, but actual expenditure is included in operating expenses. The relevant project expenditure will be capitalised as an intangible asset on completion of the project.

Financial Assessment and Implications

The administration is mandated by Council to operate in a financially sustainable and responsible manner. As such, the City ensures that it closely monitors its financials against approved budget.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The monthly statement of financial activity is prepared in accordance with Regulation 34 of the [Local Government \(Financial Management\) Regulations 1996](#) and [Local Government Act 1995](#).

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
1 April 1997	Item 10.2/A11	A monthly report must be provided to Council detailing the investment portfolio in terms of overall performance, percentage exposure of total portfolio by investment institution and in the case of managed investments, the changes in market value.

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A well-governed City

Priority: Comply with legislation, standards and obligations

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Governance	The City will act with integrity and implement appropriate processes and controls to avoid breach of legislation.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Statement of Financial Activity in the form of a Rate Setting Statement for the period to 31 October 2024 [↓](#)

Attachment 2 - Net Current Assets as at 31 October 2024 [↓](#)

Attachment 3 - An Investment Report for the period to 31 October 2024 [↓](#)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil

City of Stirling
Rate Setting Statement
For the Period Ending 31 October 2024

Description	Notes	YTD Actual \$'000	YTD Budget \$'000	Variance \$'000	%	Adopted Budget \$'000
OPERATING ACTIVITIES						
Net surplus/(deficit) start of financial year		42,257	54,091			54,091
Revenue from operating activity (excluding rates)						
Other Rates Revenue	(1)	238	100	138	138	500
Underground Power Rates		0	0	0	100	1,353
Security Charge		4,897	4,770	127	3	4,770
Grants & Subsidies	(2)	3,643	4,376	(733)	(17)	13,790
Contributions, Reimbursements & Donations	(3)	1,154	762	392	51	2,287
Interest		3,522	3,513	9	0	9,500
Registration, Licences & Permits	(4)	2,022	1,768	254	14	4,151
Service Charges		43,916	43,002	914	2	45,754
Fees & Charges		6,661	6,251	409	7	21,054
Other	(5)	1,416	1,281	135	11	4,285
Total Operating Revenue		67,468	65,823	1,645	2	107,445
Expenditure from operating activities						
Employee Costs (including Agency Staff)	(6)	(40,633)	(38,355)	(2,278)	(6)	(112,210)
Materials & Contracts Direct MTC of NCA	(7)	(9,181)	(11,469)	2,288	20	(32,303)
Materials & Contracts Other Works		(25,126)	(25,016)	(110)	(0)	(74,938)
Underground Power Expenditure	(8)	(73)	0	(73)	(100)	0
Utilities		(2,801)	(2,853)	53	2	(8,833)
Depreciation		(17,790)	(17,444)	(345)	(2)	(51,093)
Insurance		(2,063)	(2,221)	159	7	(2,223)
Other	(9)	(1,605)	(1,301)	(304)	(23)	(4,060)
Total Operating Expenditure		(99,270)	(98,660)	(610)	(1)	(285,660)
Sub Total Operating Result		(31,802)	(32,837)	1,035	3	(178,216)
Operating activities excluded						
Profit on disposal of assets	(10)	(658)	0	(658)	(100)	(611)
(Loss) on disposal of assets	(10)	1,123	0	1,123	100	788
Non cash movements in non current assets and liabilities		6,179	0	6,179	0	0
Depreciation on Assets		17,790	17,444	345	2	51,093
Total Excluded from Operating Result		24,433	17,444	(6,989)	(40)	51,270
Amount attributed to operating activities		(7,369)	(15,393)	8,024	52	(126,945)
INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES						
Grants & Subsidies	(11)	2,010	1,831	180	10	11,104
Equity Share of Investment		1,156	1,520	(364)	(24)	6,080
Proceeds from Disposal of Assets	(10)	2,176		2,176	100	3,633
Profit / (Loss) on Disposals	(10)	(465)		(465)	100	(177)
Total Capital Expenditure	(12)	(21,768)	(29,629)	7,861	27	(109,139)
Amount attributed to investment activities		(16,890)	(26,278)	9,389	36	(88,499)
FINANCIAL ACTIVITIES						
Transfers to/from Trust		(658)		0	100	
Transfers to Reserves		(5,054)		(5,054)	(100)	(30,999)
Transfers to Accum Funds		54		54	100	25,928
Amount attribute to financial activities		(5,658)		(5,658)	(100)	(5,071)
Surplus/(deficient) before general rates		(165,409)	(165,408)			(166,425)
Total amount raised from general rates		165,409	165,408			166,425
Net current assets at end of period - surplus/(deficit)		177,749	177,827			0

City of Stirling

Net Current Asset Position Statement

As At 31 October 2024

	\$'000
CURRENT ASSETS	
Cash and cash equivalents	235,885
Trade receivables	100,683
Other financial assets at amortised cost	3,687
Inventories	4,942
Contract assets	1,541
Total Current Assets	346,739
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Trade and other payables	47,600
Contract liabilities	2,489
Lease liabilities	6
Employee related provisions	21,055
Other provisions	14,905
Total Current Liabilities	86,055
Closing Funds	260,683
Restricted Assets	
Restricted Investments	103,990
Total Restricted Assets	103,990
NET CURRENT ASSETS LESS RESTRICTED ASSETS	156,694
Add Cash Backed Leave	21,055
CLOSING FUNDS	177,749

CITY OF STIRLING
CASH & INVESTMENT REPORT AS AT 31 OCTOBER 2024

	Short term Rating	Long-term Rating	Allocation %	AV RATE ¹ %	TOTAL FUNDS \$	MUNI FUNDS \$	REST FUNDS \$	TRUST FUNDS \$	RESERVE FUNDS \$
IN HOUSE INVESTMENTS									
Banks									
AMP Bank	BBB	BBB	0	0.00%	-	-	-	-	-
Bank of Queensland	A2	A-	21	5.02%	52,807,171	15,310,000	1,253,991	-	36,243,180
Bendigo / Adelaide Bank	A2	A-	2	5.19%	4,500,000	4,500,000			
Judo Bank	A-3	BBB-	1	4.67%	3,500,000	3,500,000			
NAB	A1+	AA-	34	5.10%	85,352,251	36,700,000	13,021,423	-	35,630,827
Sun Corp Metway	A-1	A+	13	5.29%	33,817,964	4,880,000	-	17,876,226	11,061,737
Westpac	A1+	AA-	29	5.12%	72,253,759	51,200,000	-	-	21,053,759
TOTAL INVESTMENTS			100	5.11%	\$ 252,231,144	\$ 116,090,000	\$ 14,275,415	\$ 17,876,226	\$ 103,989,504

¹ Average Rate for each bank relates to the investments held at monthend i.e. Total Funds balance

	INTEREST RECEIVED TO DATE	%	FULL YEAR BUDGET
INTEREST EARNED			
Municipal Fund	3,521,731	37.07%	9,500,000
TOTAL	3,521,731	37.07%	9,500,000

INTEREST RATES	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
	%	%	%	%	%	%
AVGE EARNED RATE (ALL INVESTMENTS)	5.14	5.03	5.06	5.11		
BENCHMARK RATE	4.47	4.33	4.39	4.40		

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN
AVGE EARNED RATE (ALL INVESTMENTS)						
BENCHMARK RATE						

MARKET AVERAGE	At Call	30 Day	90 Days	180 Days	5 Yr	YTD Av
INTEREST RATES	%	%	%	%	Bond %	%
FOR 2024-25 YEAR ONLY	4.35	4.25	4.38	4.58	0.00	4.40



COMMENTARY

- 1 The City of Stirling continues its cautious approach in managing and investing its funds and only invests funds as per the City's investment policy. To date the City only invests in approved and authorised institutions in line with the WA Local Government Act 1995
- 2 For this financial year 2024/25, the City continued its cash investments strategy with local banking institutions administrated in house. The City may also consider recommendations from approved advisors should the need arise.
- 3 This report is supported by detailed statements of borrowers of the City's funds with details thereto.

TRUST FUND DETAILS	BALANCE \$
Other Bonds	553,063
Right of Way Bonds	492,063
Payment in Lieu of Public Open Space	10,088,422
Town Planning Schemes	5,813,720
Other Trusts	270,983
FINANCE ONE	17,218,251
INVESTMENT REGISTER	17,876,226
Funds to be Transferred	657,975

RESERVE FUND DETAILS	BALANCE \$
Asset Acquisition Reserve	104,528
Cash in Lieu of Public Open Space	1,332,851
Churchlands Lighting Reserve	44,616
Corporate Project Fund	13,540,247
Capital Investment Reserve	32,517,892
Investment Income Reserve	4,166,909
Leave Liability Reserve	14,666,767
Long Service Leave Reserve	858,519
Payment in Lieu of Parking Reserve	3,209,992
Plant Replacement Reserve	2,875,223
Public Parking Strategy Reserve	6,873,335
Road Widening Compensation Reserve	153,167
Scarborough Development Contribution Plan	271,585
Security Service Reserve	492,492
Strategic Waste Development Reserve	18,804,644
Tree Fund Reserve	1,451,473
Workers Compensation Reserve	2,731,573
FINANCE ONE	104,095,813
INVESTMENT REGISTER	103,989,504
Funds to be Transferred	-106,310

RESTRICTED FUND DETAILS	BALANCE \$
Book Bond	135
Builders Registration Board Levy	90,708
BCITF Levy	40,645
Client Bonds	400
Development Trust	18,038
Hall Hire Bonds	6,400
Other Rest Funds	9,445
Pay in Lieu POS	5,076,410
Performance Bonds	4,628,608
Reserve Bond	600
Section 152 Land	1,722,744
Street Trees Bonds	257,301
Unclaimed Monies	127,161
Verge Bonds	2,926,821
FINANCE ONE	14,905,417
INVESTMENT REGISTER	14,275,415
Funds to be Transferred	630,002



F3 FUTURE BUDGET EFFICIENCIES

Business Unit:	Finance Services	Service: Financial Planning
Ward:	Not Applicable	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Information - *Receiving information about the City or its community.*

Moved Councillor Dudek, seconded Councillor Krsticevic

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That Council NOTES the information contained in this report which will be further considered during the 2025/2026 integrated planning and budget process.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That Council NOTES the information contained in this report which will be further considered during the 2025/2026 integrated planning and budget process

Purpose

At its meeting held 30 July 2024, Council considered a Notice of Motion and resolved (Council Resolution Number 0724/008) to identify future budget efficiencies.

Details

1. Efficiencies realised from our technology investments in the 2024/2025 budget

The efficiencies realised can be categorised as:

- Licensing: costs optimisation associated with managing software licenses and subscriptions.
- Operational: the ability to deliver products or services in the most cost-effective manner without compromising quality. It focuses on optimising processes, reducing complexity, and utilising resources effectively; and
- Workforce productivity: the amount of output produced by employees within a given time frame. It emphasises maximizing the quantity and quality of work done by the workforce.

a) Efficiencies in Operations

To date, the following efficiencies have been realised in CIS operations:

Digitisation of additional 18 staff forms: 308 hours in operational savings to date with processing time reduced to five minutes per form (3696 form submissions to date), paper saved in printing and audit trail for legal requirements

End to end automation of City's Community Small, Medium and Large grant agreements – reduction of seven days turn around per agreement.

Technical Infrastructure: Adoption of selective Azure cloud services has allowed the delivery of new infrastructure and back-office capabilities with minimum effort and cost. For example, by migrating the City's on-premises Windows-based servers Public DNS service to Azure Cloud DNS, the City has eliminated the need for Windows licenses for four virtual machines, with \$4,000 savings per year and reduced operational overhead associated with maintaining and patching these virtual machines.

Hardware decommissioning: The City has decommissioned a number of ESXi hosts at our Out Centres, resulting in \$58,000 future licensing savings. By reducing the number of hardware units hosting virtual machines at Out Centres and migrating services to a central location, the City has streamlined its operations and minimised maintenance requirements relating to management and patching of such hardware. The decommissioning has also resulted in lower energy consumption.

Application Patching and Endpoint Vulnerability: Automating application patching has realised significant reduction in vulnerabilities (from thousands to dozens), reducing downtime, and freeing up IT resources for value-add tasks (ServiceDesk and Cybersecurity teams save 22 hours per month). This centralised approach also ensures consistent policy enforcement and comprehensive reporting.

VMWare Consolidation: From January 2025 the City will save \$225,000 over the next three years in VMWare license following a 50% reduction of Virtual Machines.

Telstra Mobile Plan: by June 2025 approximately \$45,000 will be saved following the rationalisation of mobile plans and utilising the Growth and Motiv Tech Funds within the Telstra Mobile Plan to purchase mobile devices.

Automation of employee offboarding process: from January 2025 the Digitisation of the employee offboarding form and the automation of the workflow will save 10 minutes per submission and increase the turn around on offboarding staff system and building access being revoked from one day to 10 minutes. Reducing risk, operational effort and addressing audit findings.

Mobile Device Management: from January 2025, by implementing Ms Intune leveraging our existing Microsoft Enterprise Agreement E3 license, the City will save \$40,000 per year in licensing costs. By transitioning to Intune, the City has significantly reduced the time required to set up new mobile phones by 50% average. With both Intune and Endpoint Central being cloud-based, CIS can maintain visibility of devices even when they are not connected to the corporate network. This enables the City to provide better and more efficient service to end users when they contact the service desk. Additionally, the ability to push applications and updates to remote users reduces potential downtime.

b) Efficiencies from Major Projects

While the City may observe incremental improvements during the project's lifecycle, the substantial efficiencies and benefits are typically realised post-completion. To date, the following efficiencies have been realised in major technology projects:

Technology One to CiA: Access records from anywhere, any device, any time without needing a VPN and a PC to connect to the T1 desktop application will save 20% time per interaction, per remote user; Modern applications in native cloud – three times faster performance i.e saving the IT Budget Pack reduced from 100 seconds to 35 seconds. Adoption of newer application integration techniques reducing the number and complexity of integrations required to synchronise core systems while improving the speed of data transfer (Down to < 10sec); Mobility - field workforce will be able to work in the field the same as they were in the office, through connected and real-time systems (one to two hours saved per field worker, per day).

Network Replacement, Optimisation and Consolidation (N-ROC): the Consolidation of Cisco web proxy with Fortigate Firewall saves the City \$20,000 per year in licensing cost and simplifying firewall rules has provided simplified network management therefore reduced complexity and more agile response to incidents, resulting in 20 hours saved per month, and after the completion of the firewall consolidation, further 15 hours a month.

c) Further efficiencies to be realised in 2024/2025:

Copilot for Microsoft 365 Adoption: One hour per day per user (based on Australian Federal Government figures after a pilot across major agencies and +7000 users). Copilot license is \$45 per user, per month. The ROI is absolutely demonstrated with the one hour back per day to each user.

Migration, Integration, Reporting and Streamlining (MIRAS): from March 2025, 45% reduction of SSRS and Power BI operational reports and migration to Power BI Cloud will eliminate the need to maintain unused reports, the underlying data and no longer need to support and maintain SSRS technology and the on-premise physical servers, giving the central data team 15% time back. Additionally, Power BI Champions will be able to create and update their operational reports once the data is enabled for use to tailor dashboards to their specific needs, leading to more relevant and actionable insights and reducing the bottleneck of waiting for the central BI team to update the dashboards. The central CIS Data team can focus on more strategic tasks rather than routine dashboard updates, optimising their productivity by 15%.

Local2Cloud: the migration of files and documents stored in local network drives to cloud allows for the City's workforce to A) Access files from anywhere, any device, any time without needing a VPN. This will save time on connecting to network drives, especially for remote or mobile workers. B) Enhanced collaboration: real-time collaboration on documents. This reduces the time spent on emailing files back and forth and managing multiple versions of documents. C) Search Efficiency: Advanced search capabilities in SharePoint and OneDrive make it easier to find documents quickly, reducing the time spent searching for files on network drives. D) Reduced IT Support: With cloud storage, there's less need for IT support to manage and maintain physical servers, freeing up IT staff to focus on more strategic tasks.

Incrementally from January 2025, with staged adoption across the City, it is estimated to result in 20% increase in productivity per user. Additionally, 16 Virtual Machines will be decommissioned - resulting in \$75,000 savings in storage and data back-ups licensing by June 2026.

Network Replacement, Optimisation and Consolidation (N-ROC): in March 2025 the City will be upgraded to the latest NBN technology, with \$60,000 per year savings in licensing cost and business continuity ensured for out centres with the implementation of 4G back-up link.

2. Lower the impact of rate increases in the future, through:

a) Increased Commercial Revenue

Hamersley Driving Range

The City's investment in the new Hamersley driving range will generate increased commercial revenue with the business case forecasting a \$1.0m surplus from the driving range in the first full year of operation. Council approved funding of the redevelopment from the Corporate Project Fund Reserve on the basis that the annual surplus generated by the Golf Course and Driving range would be transferred to the reserve to repay the original investment which is forecast to take eight years. Council has made no decision on how the golf course surplus will be utilised after the payback period.

Property Investment

The City's policy is to transfer commercial property income to the Investment Income Reserve. The costs associated with managing commercial properties are not considered in calculating the reserve transfer. This is not currently an issue as the City's commercial property portfolio is small, and the reserve transfer is less than the surplus generated by the Property Surplus. The 2024/25 budget has a surplus for the service of \$787,204 of which \$232,379 is the commercial property income transferred to the Investment Income Reserve. The remaining \$554,825 is part of the general surplus which is transferred to the Corporate Project Fund and used for strategic projects.

The income opportunities identified in the Land Asset Action Plan could generate additional income of \$976,000 (0.6% of 2024/25 Rates Revenue) over the next two to three years. Funding property acquisitions from the property reserves will reduce the interest earned on the reserve and this reduction has been accounted for in the calculation.

The following should be considered if property income is to be used to offset rates increases:

- Transfers to the Investment Income Reserve – whether the cost of managing the property portfolio is considered in the calculation of the reserves transfer.
- General surplus – An increase in transfers to the Investment Income Reserve could result in a reduction in the general surplus available to transfer to the Corporate Project Fund. Transfers to these reserves will need to be carefully balanced to ensure the funding of future strategic projects.
- Use of the Investment Income Reserve – the purpose and use of the reserve would need to be redefined and the parameters around the use of the reserve to offset rates increases would need to be agreed.

Interest Income

The City's interest budget for 2024/25 is \$9.5 million of which around 40% will be transferred to reserves and the balance used to fund services and projects. When interest rates fall, it creates a funding gap for the City and service or project budgets have to be cut to balance the budget. If interest rates for 2025/26 were to fall 1% below the current year's levels, it would result in a \$1.3m funding gap to manage through the budget process.

A reliance on interest revenue as a funding source is a risk for the City. Firstly, there is always a margin of error in the budget as interest rates are very difficult to forecast. Falling interest rates result in funding gaps and when rates are high, the City risks funding projects and initiative based on available funding rather than a prioritisation process.

Transferring all interest to reserves would be a beneficial option for the City, as:

- It would provide a more consistent funding base for the annual budget.
- It would have minimal impact on transfers to the Corporate Project Fund over time - based on a comparison of the last five years.

b) The use of Reserves

When a reserve is established, the source of funding and the use of the reserve are defined by Council. The City's reserves have been grouped into three categories below that have similar sources of funding and uses:

- Investment Reserves – Reserves established for funding Property Investment, Major and Strategic Projects and Parking Projects;
- Service Delivery Reserves – Reserves established to hold surplus funds generated from service charges and can only be spent on the services the revenue was raised for; and
- Specific Purpose Reserves – Reserves established for a specific purpose.

The investment reserves are the only reserves that provide a degree of flexibility in how the funding can be utilised. They are however the City's only source of funding for strategic investment to support the growth of the City. Through the Long-Term Financial Plan, Elected Members will see the City's strategic approach to enhancing the Investment Income reserve, thereby decreasing dependence on rates. This initiative is not intended to address funding shortfalls, which is not recommended, but rather to ensure long-term financial sustainability and to develop a robust portfolio that will generate continuous funds.

i. Investment Reserves**Property Investment Reserves**

Through the 2015/16 planning and budget process, Council endorsed the establishment of a Capital Investment Reserve and Investment Income Reserve. This was a result of the Council endorsed Property Strategy which recommended that in order to provide for a clear separation of the City's investment assets and the revenues earned thereon, revenues from property activities should be held in dedicated reserve accounts. The Property Investment reserves will be utilised to drive revenue diversification through investment in commercial property.

Capital Investment Reserve

The reserve holds funds allocated by Council for investment purposes, including for the acquisition of investment property; proceeds of any sale of investment property or other investment assets; surplus revenue from investment income; and any other funds as determined by Council from time to time. From the 2022/23 Financial Year, the reserve also receives the proceeds from the sale of land developed by Catalina Regional Council. The reserve is to be utilised for land purchases, property acquisitions and operational costs relating to implementing the Property Strategy as approved by Council.

Investment Income Reserve

This reserve holds rents received from investment properties and interest earned on the cash held in the Capital Investment reserve. The intent is to generate long-term, regular income in the Investment Income reserve to reduce the City's reliance on rates. This reserve will also pay for the operational costs related to implementing this strategy e.g., business unit salaries and other operating costs.

Strategic Investment Reserves

The Strategic Investment Reserves are utilised to fund major strategic investments such as the Hamersley Golf Course Redevelopment and Terry Tyzack Aquatic Centre Redevelopment. These reserves are the City's only source of funding for these types of projects and the City's annual surplus is transferred to these reserves for future investment.

Corporate Project Fund Reserve

Created in 2004/05 as the Development Reserve and renamed in 2014/15 as the Corporate Project Fund. Any annual surplus generated by the City will be transferred to the reserve and it will be utilised to fund the future development needs of the City.

Asset Acquisition Reserve

Created in 2004/05 to accommodate anticipated revenues from land sales which are an integral part of the City's overall asset rationalisation Program. The reserve is to be utilised for the refurbishment and replacement of City Assets. The Reserve currently has a balance of \$100,000 and will be closed on completion of current funded project.

Parking Reserves

This reserve was created to fund the Paid Parking Strategy and is currently being utilised to fund parking related initiatives.

Public Parking Strategy

The reserve aligns with the City's Public Parking Strategy and was funded from the excess generated from the City's Parking Service. This service no longer makes a surplus, so only interest on the reserve balance is currently transferred to the reserve.

ii. Service Delivery Reserves

Where the City raises a service charge, all revenue received must be spent on that particular service. If the service generates a surplus, it is transferred to the reserve and is used for future funding of the service or related projects. The Strategic Waste Development Reserve and Community Safety Service Charge Reserve are the City's two Service Delivery Reserves.

iii. Specific Purpose Reserves

There are three types of specific purpose reserves which are:

- Employee Provisions Reserves – set-up to cash-back the city's employee liabilities.
- Payment Contribution Reserves – set-up from contributions mainly from developers and to be used for a related purpose. The largest of these reserves are the Payment in Lieu of Parking Reserve and Cash in Lieu of Public Open Space.

- Plant Replacement Reserve – this reserve is funded annually from the utilisation of existing plant and is used to fund vehicle and plant replacements.

The table below shows a summary of the Reserves Budget for the 2024/25 financial year. \$31.0m is forecast to be transferred to reserves from dividends received, interest on reserve balances and operating surpluses. \$40.9m is forecast to be transferred from reserves to fund services and projects.

Reserve Type	Reserves Budget 2024/25			
	Opening Balance 1/07/24 \$'m	Transfer To Reserve \$'m	Transfer From Reserve \$'m	Closing Balance 30/06/25 \$'m
Investment Reserves				
Property Investment Reserves	31.3	15.4	(13.0)	33.7
Strategic Investment Reserves	14.0	4.2	(9.0)	9.2
Public Parking Strategy	6.7	0.3	(1.0)	6.1
	52.1	19.9	(23.0)	48.9
Service Delivery Reserves				
Strategic Waste Development	17.7	4.0	(3.0)	18.7
Community Safety Service Charge	0.5	0.8	(1.1)	0.2
	18.2	4.8	(4.1)	18.9
Specific Purpose Reserves				
Employee Provisions Reserves	17.8	0.7	0.0	18.6
Payment Contribution Reserves	6.2	0.2	(0.4)	6.1
Plant Replacement Reserve	9.8	5.4	(13.4)	1.8
	33.9	6.3	(13.8)	26.4
Total Reserves	104.2	31.0	(40.9)	94.2

Financial Assessment and Implications

As provided above.

Recommended Action

As resolved by Council, the report provides information on:

1. Efficiencies realised from our technology investments in the 2024/2025 budget; and
2. The City's reserve accounts and usage in order to lower the impact of rate increases in the future.

The information contained in this report will be further discussed with Elected Members through the 2025/26 integrated planning and budget process.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
30/07/2024	0724/008	That a Report be PRESENTED to Council prior to the 2025/2026 budget planning cycle on the Long Term Financial Plan to identify: 1. Efficiencies realised from our technology investments in the 2024/2025 budget; and 2. Potential increased commercial revenue and the use of our reserve accounts, in order to lower the impact of rate increases in the future.

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A capable and efficient City

Priority: Plan for the future, manage resources and measure performance

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Funding	The City will take sufficient financial risk to enable it to achieve its strategic objectives, providing it does not significantly impact on the long term financial sustainability of the City.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Nil.

Available for viewing at the meeting

Nil.

Linked Documents

Nil.

F4 DOUBLEVIEW/SCARBOROUGH/INNALOO TARGETED UNDERGROUND POWER PROJECT

Business Unit:	Finance Services	Service: Rates & Receivables
Ward:	Not Applicable	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Councillor Krsticevic, seconded Councillor Proud

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

1. That Council **APPROVES** a consultation process with property owners via survey to gauge community support for the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project.
2. That Council **REAFFIRMS** approval to participate in the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project only with majority support of property owners (greater than 50%) following the survey.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (6/2).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Olow, Perkov and Proud.

Against: Councillors Krsticevic and Mayor Irwin.

Recommendation

1. That Council **APPROVES** a consultation process with property owners via survey to gauge community support for the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project.
2. That Council **REAFFIRMS** approval to participate in the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project only with majority support of property owners (greater than 50%) following the survey.

Purpose

To provide an update to Council on the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project following receipt of updated cost estimates and connection numbers from Western Power.

Details

At its meeting held 25 October 2022, Council considered a report (Council Resolution Number 1022/022) on Western Power's Targeted Underground Power Program (TUPP). The report detailed the funding mechanism for the program, the projects that were planned for the City of Stirling and the indicative cost property owners would pay, based on Western Power's initial estimates.

The TUPP is for the replacement and upgrade of existing overhead infrastructure with more reliable underground infrastructure. The cost of a TUPP includes Capital Expenditure (the cost of installing the underground network) and Operating Expenditure (the cost of connecting each property to the new network). Under the funding model for TUPP, the Capital Cost is shared by Western Power, the Local Government and in some cases the State Government (State Government funding is provided to areas where the Median House Price is less than the Perth average). The Operating Expenditure is paid by the local government. The funding model is summarised in the table below:

Party	Capital Expenditure (Network Cost)	Operating Expenditure (Connection Cost)
Western Power	Contribution equal to the calculated net benefit of not having to replace, augment and maintain the overhead network	N/A
State Government	Sliding Scale from 0% to 50% based on a comparison of the median house price of the project area to the Perth median house price	N/A
Local Government	Balance of the Capital Cost	All Operating Expenditure Costs

The cost estimates for projects included in the 25 October 2022 Council report were based on data supplied by Western Power, being:

- The E30 (+/- 30%) project cost estimate
- The number of meters in the project area

Cost to property owners

The City implements a user pays charge model to on charge the cost of underground power projects to property owners. Under the model, all property owners pay a contribution to the Network Cost based on the number of connections. As multiple unit dwellings usually have one connection to the network, the Network Cost would be shared between the dwellings and the cost would therefore be less than a stand-alone property.

The connection cost is only charged to those properties requiring a new connection. Newer houses will already have an underground connection from the house to the existing overhead network and would therefore not receive a connection charge. Property owners will therefore either pay the Network Charge only or the Network and Connection charge. The cost to property owners would be scaled based on the number of properties sharing the connection.

At its meeting held 25 October 2022, Council resolved (Council Resolution Number 1022/022) to include the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Project. The E30 estimated Project Cost, Funding and Average Cost for property owners is summarised in the table below:

E30 Cost Estimate Doubleview Project	Total Project Cost	Capex Cost			Opex Cost City of Stirling	Total City Cost
		Western Power	State Gov't	City of Stirling		
Project Cost	18,710,000	14,160,000	-	2,650,000	1,900,000	4,550,000
Total Meters	2,571			2,571		
New Connections	793				793	
Average Cost						
Network Charge				\$ 1,031		
Connection					\$ 2,396	
Netork & Connection						\$ 3,427

The network and connection charge of \$3,427 was the maximum cost a property owner would be expected to pay based on Western Power's estimates at that time.

Following the procurement process for the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo project, Western Power provided an updated E10 Estimate (+/- 10%) in August 2024. The revised estimate included:

- \$20.6 million increase in the total project cost (+110%) (this was anticipated to only increase / decrease by 20% from the E30 estimate).
- 23% reduction in total meters.
- 17% reduction in new connection numbers.

Western Power has noted that the increased costs are associated with significant increases in contractor construction costs. They noted that in addition to general market cost increases, there are also the following specific items related to the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo project:

- Large increase in traffic management costs (larger crew sizes now required in addition to more expensive rates).
- Traffic management estimate includes a higher number of complex junctions and nightworks that were not included in the E30 estimates.
- Cable installation cost increases due to the assessed amount of cap rock (relatively impermeable rock) in the project boundary, which is higher than earlier estimates.
- Materials costs have increased by approximately 40%.

This revised data has had a significant impact on the cost property owners will be charged under the City's model as follows:

E10 Cost Estimate Doubleview Project	Total Project Cost	Capex Cost			Opex Cost City of Stirling	Total City Cost
		Western Power	State Gov't	City of Stirling		
Project Cost	39,343,793	31,898,087	-	4,446,056	2,999,650	7,445,706
Total Meters	1,980			1,980		
New Connections	661				661	
Average Cost						
Network Charge				\$ 2,245		
Connection					\$ 4,538	
Netork & Connection						\$ 6,783

The maximum cost a property owner would be charged has increased from \$3,427 (October 2022 E30 estimate) to \$6,783 (August 2024 E10 estimate) which is nearly double the original estimate.

Council approved participation in the project on the basis of a maximum charge of \$3,427 to property owners.

Financial Assessment and Implications

The cost of the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project has increased by 110% resulting in a maximum charge to a property owner of \$6,783 against the E30 estimate of \$3,427, an increase of \$3,356.

The additional cost to the City as a property owner is \$50,000.

Stakeholder Engagement

The Targeted Underground Power Program is the third generation of projects the City has participated in. The first generation was the State Underground Power Program (SUPP) where Local Governments bid at least 50% of the project cost to participate. Property owners were surveyed, and it required the majority support of owners (which was greater than 50%) to proceed. The average cost per property was around \$9,000.

The second generation was the Network Renewal Underground Program Pilot (NRUPP) which replaced overhead power lines at the end of their useful life. Property owners only paid the connection fee of around \$2,350, and, in line with the Council resolution 0220/019, the City did not undertake a formal consultation with property owners, but informed them, as:

- The cost was less than a third of a SUPP project.
- Three year payment terms were available to owners to spread the cost.
- If the City did not accept the cost of the project, Western Power would make a like for like replacement of the overhead powerlines with new overhead infrastructure. Undergrounding of the network was considered the best outcome for the community.

For TUPP projects, the City elected not to undertake a consultation with property owners for the same reasons as the NRUPP projects.

It should be noted that the first point above is no longer valid for the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo project with the E10 estimates costing 75% of the average SUPP project.

The City has not previously imposed an underground charge of this value without engaging with property owners.

Options Summary

The following options were considered.

OPTIONS	
1.	Increased contribution by Western Power.
2.	Consultation with property owners via survey to gauge community support, with majority support required (greater than 50%) to proceed.
3.	Amend contribution model to spread cost more evenly.
4.	Advocate to State Government for cap on the property owner contribution.
5.	Contact WALGA to advocate on behalf of the local government sector for cap on the property owner contribution or for full payment by State Government / Western Power.
6.	The City contributes to cover the increase.

It is important to note that any decision taken will be implemented for all future TUPP projects in the City. This is a 20+ year program, with over 61 projects scheduled within the City.

With regards to the options, Western Power had increased their contribution from 76% of the network cost to 81% in bringing the updated estimates to the City. At this point they have noted that the current contribution is the maximum that can be provided in line with their cost model (Western Power contributes its net benefit (replacement and maintenance costs avoided)).

Western Power is advocating to the State Government to place a cap on the amount paid by property owners and the City has approached the WALGA underground power steering group to also advocate for this cap.

The City reviewed the contribution model for property owners, but to ensure a fair distribution of cost, it had minimal impact on reducing the maximum cost to a property owner.

The City contemplated a contribution, however, the City has not contributed to other underground projects (other than as a property owner) and a contribution to this project would mean that those property owners that have contributed to earlier SUPPs or NRUPPs would need to contribute again, which would not be equitable.

Recommended Action

Due to the significant increase in the maximum cost a property owner would be charged, from \$3,427 (October 2022 E30 estimate) to \$6,783 (August 2024 E10 estimate) it is recommended that the City consult with property owners via survey to gauge community support for the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project.

It is further recommended that the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power Project should only proceed where there is majority support of property owners (greater than 50%).

The time to consult will push out the start date of the project, should it be supported.

Should it not be supported, there is a risk that Western Power will make a like for like replacement of the overhead powerlines with new overhead infrastructure.

It is recommended that future TUPP projects of this magnitude require property owner survey and majority support.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
11 February 2020	0220/019	1. That AUTHORITY be given to the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to sign a memorandum of understanding between the City of Stirling and Electricity Networks Corporation relating to the Metropolitan Undergrounding Scheme at Scarborough. 2. That the City and Western Power INFORM the community of the implications, owner contribution and proposed timing of the project. 3. That AUTHORITY be given to the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to sign and affix the Common Seal to the necessary documentation to effect this proposal on confirmation of owner contribution and approval of the project through the 2020/2021 Annual Budget.
25 October 2022	1022/022	1. That Council APPROVES the City's participation in the Osborne Park/Tuart Hill/Joondanna Targeted Underground Power project. 2. That Council APPROVES the City's participation in the Doubleview/Scarborough/Innaloo Targeted Underground Power project. 3. That Council APPROVES the City's participation in the North Perth/Mount Hawthorn Targeted Underground Power Project. 4. That AUTHORITY be given to the Mayor and Chief Executive Officer to sign and affix the Common Seal to the necessary documentation to effect the approved Targeted Underground Power projects. 5. That the City and Western Power COMMUNICATE with property owners to provide information on the implications, owner contribution and proposed timing of the Programs. 6. That Council SUPPORTS future projects in the Targeted Underground Power Program.

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our built environment

Objective: A liveable City

Priority: Improve the quality, liveability and identity of local areas

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A customer-focused City

Priority: Involve, engage and inform our community

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Community	The City will ensure that it engages with the community in accordance with its Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan.

Relevant Documents and InformationAttachments


Attachment 1 - TUPP - Doubleview Scarborough Innaloo Project Boundary [↓](#)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.



TENDERS

TE1 50067 MAIN STREET, HUTTON STREET, ROYAL STREET INTERSECTION SAFETY IMPROVEMENT

Business Unit:	Engineering Services	Service: Transport Services
Ward:	Osborne	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Giudici

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the tender for the Main Street, Hutton Street, Royal Street Intersection Safety Improvement be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That the tender for the Main Street, Hutton Street, Royal Street Intersection Safety Improvement be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1

Purpose

To report on the results from public Invitation for Tender (IFT) and the evaluation of tenders, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Tender Description

Main Street / Hutton Street / Royal Street Intersection Improvements project in Osborne Park. This project aims to improve pedestrian accessibility and road safety. It includes new pedestrian crossing upgrades at Main Street between Hutton Street and Eldorado Street in red asphalt. The project will be delivered in collaboration with Main Roads WA. The introduction of a 40kph speed zone along the approaches of Hutton Street and Royal Street and a raised intersection plateau at the intersection with Main Street are also features of this project.

The project incorporates; public utility relocations, minor earthworks, drainage works, kerbing, pavement construction and markings, traffic signals, on street parking, pedestrian paths, tree planting, landscaping, road furniture, street lighting, and other associated minor civil work.

Tender Details

IFT Number 50067
IFT Title Main Street, Hutton Street, Royal Street Intersection Safety Improvement
Recommended Tenderer(s) As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term	Initial:	Seven Months
	Extension Options:	Not applicable
	Defects Liability Period:	12 months
Tendered Rates/Cost	Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)	
Advertising:	Saturday 31 August 2024	The West Australian
Tender Deadline:	Wednesday 9 October 2024	2.00pm
Tender Opening:	Wednesday 9 October 2024	2.00pm

Tender Submissions

One tender was received, including alternative and non-conforming Tenders:

Tenderer	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
Civcon Civil & Project Management Pty Ltd	Civcon Civil & Project Management Pty Ltd	YES

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract: December 2024
Completion Date of New Contract: June 2025
Price Basis of New Contract: Fixed Lump Sum

Tender Evaluation Panel

The tender evaluation panel comprised of five members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson), Officer(s) and External Consultant. Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Tenders

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor or Contractors to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFT.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the Evaluation Panel recommend that the Tenderer recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the tenderers.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report. The project is fully funded from the State Government's WA Recovery Plan.

Stakeholder Engagement

In accordance with Section 1.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and Regulation 14(1) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 the Tender was advertised in a state wide publication. A link to the Tender documents was also made available via the City's website.

Following contract execution, details will be included on the Tender Register on the City's Website.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The Tender has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of the [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our built environment

Objective: An accessible and connected City

Priority: Provide and maintain safe and accessible roads and parking

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Community	The City will ensure that it engages with the community in accordance with its Community and Stakeholder Engagement Plan.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil.

Linked Documents

Nil.

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Giudici

That:

- Item TE2 - 50303 Landscape Services;
- Item TE3 - 50362 Landscape Maintenance Services;
- Item TE4 - Panel Beating, Panel Painting, Panel Repair and Recovery Services;
- Item TE5 - 49589 Traffic Management Services;
- Item TE6 - VP428451 Kerbside Waste Collection and Processing Services; and
- Item TE7 - 49790 Control of Weeds and Pests Within Turfed Reserves and Conservation Areas

be CONSIDERED Behind Closed Doors in accordance with Section 5.23(2)(a) and (e(ii)) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, which permits the meeting to be closed to the public for business relating to the following:

- (c) *a contract entered into, or which may be entered into, by the local government and which relates to a matter to be discussed at the meeting*
- (e) *a matter that if disclosed, would reveal -*
 - (ii) *information that has a commercial value to a person;*

And

That:

- Item 8.1 – Hertha Reserve Landfill Site – Detailed Site Investigation Brief be CONSIDERED Behind Closed Doors in accordance with Section 5.23(2)(e(ii)) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, which permits the meeting to be closed to the public for business relating to the following:
 - (e) *a matter that if disclosed, would reveal -*
 - (ii) *information that has a commercial value to a person*

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

At 7.08pm the meeting was closed to the public and all employees who were not required left the meeting prior to consideration of Item TE2.

MATTERS BEHIND CLOSED DOORS

At 7.08pm, Councillor Suzanne Migdale left the meeting prior to consideration of Item TE2.

TE2 50303 LANDSCAPE SERVICES

Business Unit:	Parks and Environment	Service: Open Space & Parks Development
Ward:	City Wide	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Proud

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the tender be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (6/2).

For: Councillors Ferrante, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Councillors Dudek and Giudici.

Recommendation

That the tender be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

Purpose

To report on the results from public Invitation for Tender (IFT) and the evaluation of tenders, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Tender Description

The City of Stirling (the Principal) requires a panel of suitable qualified contractors to provide various services for landscaping projects and maintenance, which include but are not limited to minor reserve upgrades, major reserve re-developments and tree planting.

The Invitation for Tender (IFT) is being released to market in order to establish five categorised panels of experienced contractors who can deliver a wide range of landscaping and associated works.

Tender Details

IFT Number 50303

IFT Title Landscape Services

Recommended Tenderer(s) As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term	Initial:	Three years
	Extension Options:	Two extension options for one year each
	Defects Liability Period:	Twelve months

Tendered Rates/Cost Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)

Panel Allocation

The Contract consists of the following panels:

- Panel 1 - Site works
 - This Panel will assist in Landscape Construction projects and for coastal paths, works include earthmoving, demolition and crushed limestone path works.
- Panel 2 – Concrete paving
 - This Panel will support landscape construction projects and path replacement works within parks.

- Panel 3 - Tree Services Projects and Watering
 - This Panel will primarily support the City Trees team and through landscaping projects to implement street tree planting, median planting and supporting truck watering for the first few years of the juvenile tree's life.
- Panel 4 – Minor landscape works
 - This Panel will be accessed to support predominantly landscape construction and engineering projects, median planting, minor landscaping under \$50,000
- Panel 5 – Landscape Development Projects
 - This Panel will be accessed to support complex, high value and high-risk landscape construction projects city wide.

The Principal intends, and is not bound to distribute the Work by the following Work allocation methodology for panels 1-4:

- When the estimated Work price is less than or equal to \$25,000 ex-GST:
 - Work will be offered for acceptance to the best priced panel member (calculated as a lump-sum, based on agreed Contract Schedule of Rates) and, who can meet the Principal's proposed timeframe.
- When the estimated Work price is more than \$25,000 ex-GST:
 - All panel members will be given the opportunity to provide a lump sum quote for the Work on fair and equitable grounds. Whilst the panel member cannot exceed the agreed Contract Schedule of Rates, the Principal has an expectation that reduced rates will be offered if the Work is deemed to be of significant volume.
 - All quotes will be evaluated, and the Work will be offered for acceptance to the best priced panel member who can meet the Work requirements, including timeline.
 - Provision of quote does not become an obligation on the Principal to accept it for award of Work.
- When work is awarded to a panel member, the panel member must meet the requirements and the relevant awarded work specification.

The Principal intends, and is not bound to distribute the work by the following work allocation methodology for panel 5:

- Works under \$10,000 ex-GST will be awarded based on one written quote.
- Works over \$10,000 ex-GST will be a Request for Quote (RFQ) to all five panel members.

Quotations will be assessed based on value for money. This will include considerations such as programme of works, fixed lumps sum price, and recent performance. Quotations to be lump sum, with items broken down into rates. A programme (proportionate to the scale of the job) is to be submitted with lump sum quotations.

Nothing in this panel engagement represents an obligation on the Principal to assign Work to the panel member(s) on exclusive basis.

The Principal reserves the right to use additional contractor(s) outside of the panel members to undertake the Work in circumstances where all panel members are unavailable to perform the Work or where the Work requirement is unable to be met by all of the panel members. Depending on the nature or extent of a particular work assignment, the Principal reserves the right to call tenders or invitation for quotations for specific assignments independently from the Panel.

The Principal reserves the right to add and remove panel members from this panel in accordance with the Conditions of Contract throughout the term of the engagement.

The Principal reserves the right to suspend allocation of new Work to any panel member experiencing contract performance issue(s). The suspension will be lifted only upon complete resolution of the issue(s).

Advertising:	Saturday 7 September 2024	The West Australian
Tender Deadline:	Wednesday 23 October 2024	2:00pm
Tender Opening:	Wednesday 23 October 2024	2:00pm

Tender Submissions

Fourteen tenders were received, including alternative and non-conforming Tenders:

Tenderer	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
Mikevie Pty Ltd	ABM Landscaping	Yes
Axiis Contracting Pty Ltd	Axiis Contracting Pty Ltd	Yes
D.C.L (WA) PTY LTD	Diamond Cut Landscaping	Yes
Donegan Enterprises Pty Ltd	Donegan Enterprises Pty Ltd	Yes
Dowsing Group Pty Ltd	Dowsing Group Pty Ltd	Yes
Environmental Industries Pty Ltd	Environmental Industries Pty Ltd	Yes
Sanpoint Pty Ltd trustee for the Fiore Family Trust	LD Total	Yes
Landscape Elements Pty Ltd	Landscape Elements Pty Ltd	Yes
Menchetti Consolidated Pty Ltd	MG Group WA	Yes
Miraplex Group Pty Ltd	Miraplex Group Pty Ltd	Yes
The Trustee For The Dzodzoz Family Trust	United Lawns And Landscaping	Yes
The Trustee For The MAMMO Trust-Kretar Holdings	TONCA Earthmoving	Yes
Total Landscape Redevelopment Service Pty Ltd	Total Landscape Redevelopment Service Pty Ltd	Yes
Baroness Holdings Pty Ltd	Tree Planting And Watering	Yes

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract: December 2024
 Completion Date of New Contract: December 2027
 Price Basis of New Contract: Schedule of Rates

Tender Evaluation Panel

The tender evaluation panel comprised eight members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson), and Officers. Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Tenders

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor or Contractors to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFT.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the Evaluation Panel recommend that the Tenderer(s) recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the tenderers.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Stakeholder Engagement

In accordance with Section 1.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and Regulation 14(1) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 the Tender was advertised in a statewide publication. A link to the Tender documents was also made available via the City's website.

Following contract execution, details will be included on the Tender Register on the City's Website.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The Tender has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of the [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our built environment

Objective: An attractive and well-maintained City

Priority: Provide quality well-maintained sporting reserves, parks and open spaces for the community

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Environment	The City will prioritise protection, enhancement and sustainability of the natural environment unless this cannot be achieved without significantly compromising the City's economic or social sustainability.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil.

Linked Documents

Nil.

At 7.31pm Councillor Suzanne Migdale returned to the meeting during consideration of Item TE3.

TE3 50362 LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE SERVICES

Business Unit:	Parks and Environment	Service: Parks & Streetscapes
Ward:	City Wide	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Proud

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the Tender for the Landscape Maintenance Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (6/2).

For: Councillors Ferrante, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Councillors Dudek and Giudici.

Recommendation

That the Tender for the Landscape Maintenance Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

Purpose

To report on the results from public Invitation for Tender (IFT) and the evaluation of tenders, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Tender Description

The City of Stirling (the Principal) is seeking tenders from competent companies to provide Landscape Maintenance Services within the City of Stirling geographical area for the following categories:

- Category 1 – Scheduled Landscape Maintenance
 - This Panel will be used for supporting the City to maintain street landscapes.
- Category 2 – Additional Work / Unscheduled Services
 - This panel is used for associated horticultural services on an ad-hoc basis.
- Category 3 – Application of Mulch (Blowing)
 - This Panel supports the loading, transport and installation via blowing of mulch onto landscapes City wide in difficult to access locations.

Tender Details

IFT Number 50362
IFT Title Landscape Maintenance Services
Recommended Tenderer(s) As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term Initial: Three years
Extension Options: Two extension options for one year each
Tendered Rates/Cost Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)

Panel Allocation

Categories 1 and 2 are to be awarded as Panel Contracts to up to three Respondents and the Principal reserves the right to award to more or fewer Respondents if deemed appropriate.

The Principal intends, and is not bound to distribute work within Categories 1 and 2 by ranking, geographic areas, price, capacity, availability and performance.

Category 3 is to be awarded to one Respondent, and the Principal reserves the right to award to more Respondents if deemed appropriate.

Advertising: Saturday 14 September 2024 The West Australian

Tender Deadline: Wednesday 9 October 2024 2:00pm

Tender Opening: Wednesday 9 October 2024 2:00pm

Tender Submissions

Five tenders were received, including alternative and non-conforming Tenders:

Tenderer	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
ABM Landscaping Pty Ltd	ABM Landscaping	YES
Environmental Industries Pty Ltd	Environmental Industries Pty Ltd	YES
Fiore Family Trust	LD Total	YES
Perth Materials Blowing Pty Ltd	Perth Materials Blowing Pty Ltd	YES
The Trustee for the Dzodzoz Family Trust	United Lawns and Landscaping	YES

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract: December 2024

Completion Date of New Contract: December 2027

Price Basis of New Contract: Schedule of rates

Tender Evaluation Panel

The tender evaluation panel comprised five members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson) and Officers. Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Tenders

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor or Contractors to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFT.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the Evaluation Panel recommend that the Tenderer(s) recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the tenderers.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Stakeholder Engagement

In accordance with Section 1.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and Regulation 14(1) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 the Tender was advertised in a statewide publication. A link to the Tender documents was also made available via the City's website.

Following contract execution, details will be included on the Tender Register on the City's Website.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The Tender has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of the [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our built environment

Objective: An attractive and well-maintained City

Priority: Provide quality well-maintained sporting reserves, parks and open spaces for the community

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Environment	The City will prioritise protection, enhancement and sustainability of the natural environment unless this cannot be achieved without significantly compromising the City's economic or social sustainability.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil.

Linked Documents

Nil.

Mayor Mark Irwin disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as he has had repairs done at two of the companies who tendered.

Councillor Chris Hatton disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as the directors of S & A Smash Repairs are related through family; he left the meeting at 7.35pm prior to consideration of the Item.

Councillor Tony Krsticevic disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as his daughter worked for S & A Smash Repairs a number of years ago. Directors of the company also attended some fundraising events when he was an Member of the Legislative Assembly prior to March 2021.

Councillor Karlo Perkov disclosed an Impartial Interest in Item TE4 as the directors of a tenderer are known to him.

TE4 50152 - PANEL BEATING, PANEL PAINTING, PANEL REPAIR AND RECOVERY SERVICES

Business Unit:	Waste and Fleet	Service: Transport Services
Ward:	City Wide	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Councillor Giudici, seconded Councillor Dudek

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the tender for the Panel Beating, Panel Painting, Panel Repair and Recovery Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That the tender for the Panel Beating, Panel Painting, Panel Repair and Recovery Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1

Purpose

To report on the results from public Invitation for Tender (IFT) and the evaluation of tenders, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Tender Description

The City of Stirling (Principal), invites tenders from professional contractors for the provision of panel beating, panel painting, panel repair and recovery services as a result of accident of a Principals Vehicle, Plant or Fleet item.

Tender Details

IFT Number 50152
IFT Title Panel Beating, Panel Painting, Panel Repair and Recovery Services
Recommended Tenderer(s) As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term	Initial:	Three Years
	Extension Options:	One Extension Option of 2 Years
Tendered Rates/Cost	Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)	
Advertising:	Saturday 17 August 2024 The West Australian	
Tender Deadline:	Wednesday 4 September 2024	2.00pm
Tender Opening:	Wednesday 4 September 2024	2.00pm

Tender Submissions

Four tenders were received, including alternative and non-conforming Tenders:

Tenderer	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
AMA Group Solutions Pty Ltd	AMA Group Solutions Pty Ltd	YES
The Trustee for the CEA Trust	Balcatta Panel & Paint	YES
Borsa Pty Ltd	S & A Smash Repairs	YES
The Trustee for Nova Smash Unit Trust	Nova Smash Repairs Pty Ltd	YES

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract:	December 2024
Reason for gap between Contracts:	Business Unit Availability
Completion Date of New Contract:	December 2027
Price Basis of New Contract:	Schedule of Rates

Tender Evaluation Panel

The tender evaluation panel comprised of four members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson) and Officer(s). Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Tenders

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFT.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the Evaluation Panel recommend that the Tenderer recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the tenderers.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
No comments	
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
No comments	
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
No comments	
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
No comments	
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	NO
Not Applicable	

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Stakeholder Engagement

In accordance with Section 1.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and Regulation 14(1) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 the Tender was advertised in a statewide publication. A link to the Tender documents was also made available via the City's website.

Following contract execution, details will be included on the Tender Register on the City's Website.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The Tender has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of the [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A capable and efficient City

Priority: Provide responsible financial and asset management

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Business Disruption	The City recognises the possibility of major disruptions to community, workforce, assets and systems and will have business continuity plans for each service for activation as required.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.

Councillor Chris Hatton returned to the meeting at 7.37pm prior to consideration of Item TE5.

TE5 49589 TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT SERVICES

Business Unit:	Engineering Services	Service: Maintenance Services
Ward:	City Wide	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Giudici

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the tender for the Provision of Traffic Management Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That the tender for the Provision of Traffic Management Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

Purpose

To report on the results from public Invitation for Tender (IFT) and the evaluation of tenders, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Tender Description

The City of Stirling (Principal) invited tenders for the provision of Traffic Management Services on work sites within the City of Stirling boundaries, including the provision of Traffic Management Plans (TMPs) as required.

The Works to be performed under this tender consist of all work necessary to provide for the safe movement of traffic and the protection of persons and property through and/or around the work sites within the City of Stirling boundaries when requested by the Principal, including the provision of Traffic Management Plans (TMPs) as required.

The Principal intends to award Contracts to up to three Tenderers as part of a panel and reserves the right to award more or fewer suppliers if deemed appropriate.

The Principal intends, but is not bound to distribute services among panel members based on price, availability, quality of services as well as overall performance of panel members against nominated Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) throughout the duration of the panel.

Tender Details

IFT Number	49589
IFT Title	Provision of Traffic Management Services
Recommended Tenderer(s)	As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term	Initial:	Two years
	Extension Options:	Two extension options of one year each

Tendered Rates/Cost	Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)
Panel Allocation	The following process is to be used to allocate services as described in the Specification: • The Principal intends, but is not bound to distribute services among panel members based on price, availability, quality of services as well as overall KPI performance of panel members throughout the duration of the panel. • Notification for award of services will be through a Purchase Order. Once a Purchase Order is issued to the Contractor it will become an obligation on the Contractor to deliver the services as indicated in the Purchase Order and agreed between the parties. The Contractor must meet the requirements and the relevant awarded service specification. • The Principal reserves the right to reduce or remove and reallocate immediate work to other panel members or go to the market to engage other contractors outside the panel, where (a) the Contractor is not able to meet the required time frame, or (b) the Contractor's performance is not meeting KPI's. • In the case of performance issues, the Principal reserves the right at its discretion to suspend any new allocation of services to the Contractor until the performance issues are rectified. If issues are not rectified the Principal may remove the Contractor from the Panel. • Nothing in this panel engagement represents an obligation on the Principal to assign services to the Contractor on an exclusive basis. • The Principal reserves the right to use additional contractor(s) outside of the panel to undertake the services in circumstances of delay or where the requirement is unable to be provided by the panel members and/or where circumstances necessitate such action in accordance with clause 40.4 of Conditions of Contract.
Advertising:	Saturday 10 August 2024 The West Australian
Tender Deadline:	Wednesday 11 September 2024 2:00pm
Tender Opening:	Wednesday 11 September 2024 2:00pm

Tender Submissions

Nine Tenders were received, including alternative and non-conforming Tenders:

Tenderer	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
Contra-Flow Pty Ltd	Contra-Flow Pty Ltd	YES
Warp Pty Ltd	Warp Traffic Management	YES
Par Traffic Solutions Pty Ltd	Par Traffic Solutions Pty Ltd	YES
Luxworks Traffic Control and Management Pty Ltd	Luxworks Traffic Control and Management Pty Ltd	YES
TMSW Pty Ltd as The Trustee for TMSW Unit Trust Trading as Traffic Force	Traffic Management South West Pty Ltd	YES
Overwatch Traffic Services Pty Ltd	Overwatch Traffic Services Pty Ltd	YES
Workforce Road Services Pty Limited	C Q Traffic Control	YES
Evolution Traffic Management Pty Ltd	Evolution Traffic Management Pty Ltd	YES
Downer EDI Works Pty Ltd	Downer EDI Works Pty Ltd	YES

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract: December 2024
 Completion Date of New Contract: December 2026
 Price Basis of New Contract: Schedule of rates

Tender Evaluation Panel

The tender evaluation panel comprised four members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson) and Officer(s). Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Tenders

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor or Contractors to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFT.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the evaluation panel recommend that the Tenderer(s) recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the tenderers.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Stakeholder Engagement

In accordance with Section 1.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and Regulation 14(1) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 the Tender was advertised in a statewide publication. A link to the Tender documents was also made available via the City's website.

Following contract execution, details will be included on the Tender Register on the City's Website.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The Tender has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of the [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our built environment

Objective: An accessible and connected City

Priority: Provide and maintain safe and accessible roads and parking

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
People and Safety	The City will employ a capable workforce that is culturally aligned and is empowered to deliver strategic objectives without comprising safety.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.

TE6 VP428451: KERBSIDE WASTE COLLECTION AND PROCESSING SERVICES

Business Unit:	Waste and Fleet	Service: Waste Operations
Ward:	City Wide	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Proud

That Item TE6 – VP428451: Kerbside Waste Collection and Processing Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

Procedural Motion

Moved Councillor Dudek, seconded Councillor Giudici

That Item TE6 – VP428451: Kerbside Waste Collection and Processing Services be REFERRED to the Council meeting to be held 3 December 2024 to allow further consideration by Elected Members.

The motion was put and declared LOST (4/4).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici and Olow.

Against: Councillors Krsticevic, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Casting Vote Against: Councillor Perkov.

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Proud

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That Item TE6 – VP428451: Kerbside Waste Collection and Processing Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (7/1).

For: Councillors Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Councillor Dudek..

Recommendation

That the Quotation for the Kerbside Waste Collection and Processing Services be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

Purpose

To report on the results from tender exempt Invitation for Quotation (IFQ) and the evaluation of submissions, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Quotation Description

The City is seeking Responses from suitably qualified and experienced contractors to provide collection and processing services for kerbside commingled recyclables and garden organics (GO) waste (inclusive of appropriate disposal/processing of unrecoverable items.)

Quotation Details

IFQ Number VP428451

IFQ Title Kerbside Waste Collection And Processing Services

Recommended Respondent(s) As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term Initial: Kerbside Waste Collection of Recycling and Garden Organics Bins: seven years plus three options to extend for one year

Processing of Comingled Recyclables: five years plus one option to extend for one year

Processing of Garden Organics: one year plus four options to extend for one year

Quoted Rates/Cost Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)

Quotation Deadline: Monday 28 October 2024 5.00pm

Quotation Opening: Tuesday 29 October 2024 8.30am

Quotation Submissions

Seven quotations were received, including alternative and non-conforming quotations:

Respondent	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd	Cleanaway Operations Pty Ltd	Yes
JD Organics Pty Ltd	Garden Organics	Yes
J.J. Richards & Sons Pty Ltd	JJ's Waste & Recycling	Yes
The Trustee for WRS Trust	MASTEC Smart Waste Solutions	Yes
Resource Recovery Group	Resource Recovery Group	No
The Trustee For Rico Family Trust	Solo Resource Recovery	Yes
Veolia Recycling & Recovery Pty Ltd	Veolia Recycling & Recovery Pty Ltd	Yes

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract: June 2025
Completion Date of New Contract: Various, see above contract terms
Price Basis of New Contract: Schedule of rates

Quotation Evaluation Panel

The evaluation panel comprised of three members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson) and Officers. Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Quotations

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend a suitably qualified and experienced Contractor or Contractors to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFQ.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the Evaluation Panel recommend that the Tenderer(s) recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the respondents.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Respondent(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
Has the recommended Respondent(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
Has the recommended Respondent(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
Has the recommended Respondent(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Stakeholder Engagement

Nil.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The IFQ has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our natural environment

Objective: A waste-wise City

Priority: Support, engage and guide our community to reduce waste generation and divert waste from landfill

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Environment	The City will prioritise protection, enhancement and sustainability of the natural environment unless this cannot be achieved without significantly compromising the City's economic or social sustainability.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 3 - Probity Plan (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil

TE7 49790 - CONTROL OF WEEDS AND PESTS WITHIN TURFED RESERVES AND CONSERVATION AREAS

Business Unit:	Parks and Sustainability	Service: Parks & Streetscapes
Ward:	City Wide	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Executive - *Governing the City and the community through executive powers.*

Moved Mayor Irwin, seconded Councillor Olow

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That the tender for the Control of Weeds and Pests within Turfed Reserves and Conservation Areas be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

That the tender for the Control of Weeds and Pests within Turfed Reserves and Conservation Areas be ACCEPTED as detailed in Confidential Attachment 1.

Purpose

To report on the results from public Invitation for Tender (IFT) and the evaluation of tenders, in accordance with Regulation 18 of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996.

Details

Tender Description

The City of Stirling (Principal), invites tenders to seek professional Contractors for the control of weeds and pests within the City of Stirling, spraying Pesticides/Herbicides across the various Active and Passive Reserves and Conservation Areas.

The Principal currently manages pests and weeds in over 500 parks and reserves, including conservation areas, via a program known as Integrated Pest Management (IPM).

This program is a core function of the Principal's Infrastructure Directorate. It encompasses all facets of pest management and the safe use of pesticides as a last resort.

The required services will cover both turfed reserves and conservation areas. While the services have common aspects, each also has unique requirements. Therefore, two separate panels will be established, each managed by a different Principal's representatives.

The Principal intends to form the following two Panels and Categories:

- **Panel 1 - Turfed Reserves**
- **Panel 2 – Conservation Areas**
 - Category 1 – Chemical
 - Category 2 – Non Chemical, Cambium [bark] and, Mechanical Hot Water and Steam
 - Category 3 – Specialised Services

Tender Details

IFT Number	49790
IFT Title	Control of Weeds and Pests within Turfed Reserves and Conservation Areas
Recommended Tenderer(s)	As per confidential Attachment 1

Expenditure under this Contract is not capped or fixed. The estimated Contract award value is based on estimated expenditure at this time but may vary depending on budget availability.

Contract Term	Initial:	Three years
	Extension Options:	Two extension options of one year each
Tendered Rates/Cost	Provided in Attachment 1 to this report (confidential)	

Panel Allocation

This section elaborates the work distribution governance and management principle which will be used to administer the panel arrangement. The Principal intends, and is not bound to distribute work by the following work panel allocation.

The Principal reserves the right to allocate works to Panel Contractors at any time and as and when required.

- (a) Nothing in this Panel engagement represents an obligation on the Principal to assign work to the Panel Contractor(s) on exclusive basis. The Principal reserves the right to use additional Contractor(s) outside of the Panel Contract to undertake the work in circumstances where Panel Contractors are unavailable to perform the work or where the work requirement is unable to be met by the Panel Contractor, whilst being compliant to the Procurement Policy. Depending on the nature or extent of a particular work assignment, the Principal reserves the right to call tenders or invitation for quotations for specific assignments independently from the Panel.
- (b) Provision of quote does not become an obligation on the Principal to accept it for award of work. However, if a letter of acceptance/award, or purchase order is issued for a particular work assignment, a contract shall be in force for that work assignment and shall be in accordance with the assignment specification, the response, and the Conditions of Contract.
- (c) The Principal reserves the right to add and remove Panel Contractors from this Panel at its sole discretion in accordance with the Conditions of Contract throughout the term of the engagement.

- (d) The Principal reserves the right to suspend allocation of new works to any panel contractor experiencing contract performance issue(s). The suspension will be lifted only upon complete resolution of the issue(s).

Panel 1 – Turfed Reserves:

Direct Engagement of a Panel Contractor:

For works that are:

- Ad-hoc reactive weed and pest works
- Any other works to be completed within a short notice or in case of urgency.

When estimated work price is less than (or, equal to) \$20,000 ex-GST:

The Principal may directly engage a Panel Contractor based on contract rates, Capability, and Availability.

Work will be offered to the Contractor that represents best value for money for acceptance.

The Principal reserves the right to request for a quote/s at its discretion.

When estimated work price is more than \$20,000 ex-GST:

All panel members will be given the opportunity to provide a quote for the Work on fair and equitable grounds. Whilst the panel member cannot exceed the rates within the agreed contract rates, the Principal has an expectation that reduced rates will be offered if the Work is deemed to be of significant volume.

All quotes will be evaluated, and the work will be offered for acceptance to the panel contractor who provides the best value for money submission and also can meet the Work requirements, including timeline.

Provision of a quote does not become an obligation on the Principal to accept it for award of Work.

Scheduled Works for Panel Contractor:

Upon the commencement of the Weed-spraying programme, the Principal shall allocate lists of works to all contractors on the panel based on the factors Capability, Availability, Experience and Price whilst meeting the Principals weed and pest control target timelines.

The schedules for allocated works will be reviewed and allocated yearly by the Principal and a notification of the work allocations shall be sent to Contractors. As the Principal aims to achieve best value for money, it therefore reserves the right to amend the distribution of work schedules at any time should a Contractor be unable to meet any of the four criteria below.

Criteria:

(i) Quality:

Panel Contractors to be considered must meet the agreed KPIs and requirements of the Specification to the Principal's satisfaction.

(ii) Capability:

The Contractor must have the appropriate resources and ability to complete the volume of work allocated to them within the required timeframe. The volume of work may be adjusted at the Principal's discretion based on the Contractor's capability to fulfil the allocated volume of work. The Principal reserves the right to select a suitable work volume allocation for all the Panel Contractors to allow the Principal to meet its weed and pest Control targets, deadlines and goals.

(iii) Availability:

The Contractor is obliged to ensure their resources are available to perform the works required by the Principal within the required timeframe by the Principal. The Conditions of Contract **clause 40.4** applies where the Contractor fails to fulfill this obligation.

(iv) Safety:

The Contractor must demonstrate a clear understanding of the Work Health and Safety legislation and ability to ensure they are capable of working safely throughout the duration of the contract.

Panel 2 – Conservation Areas:

Direct Engagement of a Panel Contractor:

The Principal may directly engage a Panel Contractor based on contracted rates, Capability, and Availability in the following cases:

- (i) Ad-hoc reactive weed and pest works; and
- (ii) Any other works to be completed within a short notice or in case of urgency.

Work will be offered to the Contractor that represents best value for money for acceptance.

The Principal reserves the right to request for a quote/s at its discretion.

Scheduled Works for Panel Contractor:

Upon the commencement of the Weed-spraying programme, the Principal shall allocate lists of works to all Contractors on the panel based on the factors Capability, Availability, Experience and Price whilst meeting the Principals weed and pest control target timelines.

The schedules for allocated works will be reviewed and allocated yearly by the Principal and a notification of the work allocations shall be sent to Contractors. As the Principal aims to achieve best value for money, it therefore reserves the right to amend the distribution of work schedules at any time should a Contractor be unable to meet any of the four criteria below.

Criteria:

(i) Quality:

Panel Contractors to be considered must meet the agreed KPIs and requirements of the Specification to the Principal's satisfaction.

(ii) Capability:

The Contractor must have the appropriate resources and ability to complete the volume of work allocated to them within the required timeframe. The volume of work may be adjusted at the Principal's discretion based on the Contractor's capability to fulfil the allocated volume of work. The Principal reserves the right to select a suitable work volume allocation for all the Panel Contractors to allow the Principal to meet its weed and pest Control targets, deadlines and goals.

(iii) Availability:

The Contractor is obliged to ensure their resources are available to perform the works required by the Principal within the required timeframe by the Principal. The Conditions of Contract **clause 40.4** applies where the Contractor fails to fulfill this obligation.

(iv) Safety:

The Contractor must demonstrate a clear understanding of the Work Health and Safety legislation and ability to ensure they are capable of working safely throughout the duration of the contract.

Advertising: Wednesday 17 July 2024 The West Australian

Tender Deadline: Wednesday 14 August 2024 2.00pm

Tender Opening: Wednesday 14 August 2024 2.00pm

Tender Submissions

Five tenders were received, including alternative and non-conforming Tenders:

Tenderer	Trading Name	Stirling or Local Business?
Environmental Industries Pty Ltd	Environmental Industries Pty Ltd	YES
Green Skills Inc	Green Skills Inc	YES
GreenSteam Australia Pty Ltd	Greensteam Australia	YES
Natural Area Holdings Pty Ltd	Natural Area Holdings Pty Ltd	YES
Turf Care WA Pty Ltd	Turf Care WA Pty Ltd	YES

Contract

Commencement Date of New Contract: 1 January 2025
Completion Date of New Contract: 31 December 2027
Price Basis of New Contract: Schedule of Rates

Tender Evaluation Panel

The tender evaluation panel comprised five members, including a Business Unit Manager (Chairperson) and Officer(s). Process and probity advice during evaluation was provided by a City Procurement Officer.

Evaluation of Tenders

The objective of the evaluation panel is to recommend suitably qualified and experienced Contractors to satisfy the requirement of the above mentioned IFT.

Based on evaluation of the received submissions and subsequent compliance assessments, the Evaluation Panel recommend that the Tenderers recommended in this report be accepted at the estimated Contract value and Contract term provided, from the anticipated commencement date.

Evaluation Justification

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Comment

All members of the evaluation panel have made a conflict of interest declaration in writing confirming they have no relationships with any of the tenderers.

It is confirmed that the following checks have been undertaken:

Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Reference Checks successfully?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Probity assessment and been deemed compliant?	YES
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Financial Viability assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES
Was a Conflict of Interest declared? If yes, please specify how it was managed	NO
Has the recommended Tenderer(s) undergone Occupational Safety and Health assessment and been deemed acceptable?	YES

Financial Assessment and Implications

Provided in Confidential Attachment 1 to this report.

Stakeholder Engagement

In accordance with Section 1.8 of the *Local Government Act 1995* and Regulation 14(1) of the Local Government (Functions and General) Regulations 1996 the Tender was advertised in a state wide publication. A link to the Tender documents was also made available via the City's website.

Following contract execution, details will be included on the Tender Register on the City's Website.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

The Tender has been conducted in accordance with Part 4 of the [Local Government \(Functions and General\) Regulations 1996](#).

[City of Stirling Procurement Policy](#)

[Delegated Authority Register](#)

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our built environment

Objective: An attractive and well-maintained City

Priority: Provide quality well-maintained sporting reserves, parks and open spaces for the community

Objective: A liveable City

Priority: Improve the quality, liveability and identity of local areas

Key Result Area: Our natural environment

Objective: A biodiverse City

Priority: Undertake conservation, protection and enhancement of natural ecosystems and biodiversity

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
People and Safety	The City will employ a capable workforce that is culturally aligned and is empowered to deliver strategic objectives without comprising safety.
Environment	The City will prioritise protection, enhancement and sustainability of the natural environment unless this cannot be achieved without significantly compromising the City's economic or social sustainability.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Evaluation and Recommendation (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Attachment 2 - List of Directors (previously circulated to Elected Members under confidential separate cover)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.

8.1 HERTHA RESERVE LANDFILL SITE - DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION BRIEF

Confidentiality

This report is **CONFIDENTIAL** in accordance with Section 5.23(2) of the *Local Government Act 1995*, which permits the meeting to be closed to the public for business relating to the following:-

- (e) *a matter that if disclosed, would reveal -*
- (ii) *information that has a commercial value to a person*

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Olow

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

That Council **APPROVES** the brief (as shown in Attachment 1) to engage a consultant to undertake a Detailed Site Investigation for the Hertha Reserve Landfill Site.

The motion was put and declared **CARRIED (8/0)**.

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Krsticevic

That the Meeting be REOPENED to the public.

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

At 7.59pm the meeting was reopened to the public.

GOVERNANCE

At 8.09pm, Councillor Suzanne Migdale retired from the meeting during consideration of Item GOV2.

GOV2 PROPOSED SHOPPING TROLLEYS LOCAL LAW 2025

Business Unit:	Governance	Service: Compliance, Risk & Information Management
Ward:	Not Applicable	Location: Not Applicable
Applicant:	Not Applicable	

Role

Legislative - *Making local laws, policies and planning instruments.*

Moved Councillor Ferrante, seconded Councillor Proud

THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO COUNCIL

1. That the City gives NOTICE of the proposed City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025, as shown in Attachment 1, in accordance with *the Local Government Act 1995*.
2. That the Minister for Local Government be PROVIDED with a copy of the proposed Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025.
3. Following advertising, that public submissions be PRESENTED to a future Council meeting for consideration

The motion was put and declared CARRIED (8/0).

For: Councillors Dudek, Ferrante, Giudici, Krsticevic, Olow, Perkov, Proud and Mayor Irwin.

Against: Nil.

Recommendation

1. That the City gives NOTICE of the proposed City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025, as shown in Attachment 1, in accordance with *the Local Government Act 1995*.
2. That the Minister for Local Government be PROVIDED with a copy of the proposed Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025.
3. Following advertising, that public submissions be PRESENTED to a future Council meeting for consideration

Purpose

To seek the approval of Council to advertise the proposed *City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025* for public comment. The purpose and effect of the proposed Local Law is included in section 1.4 of the proposed Local Law as follows:

Purpose:

This Local Law intends to:

- (a) regulate the removal of shopping trolleys from shopping precincts;
- (b) require retailers providing shopping trolleys to ensure their prompt collection and return;
- (c) provide the local government with powers to impound shopping trolleys that are left in contravention of this local law; and
- (d) create offences and penalties for breaches of this Local Law.

Effect:

This Local Law applies to all land in the local government.

Details

A new proposed City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025 has been drafted. This local law will strengthen provisions to ensure trolleys are not removed from shopping centres, reduce the timeframe allowed for the removal of abandoned shopping trolleys and increase penalties for not complying with these requirements. This local law will regulate shopping trolleys that are left in public places, streets, parks and reserves in one local law whilst providing more options to enforce compliance in a timely manner. The City of Stirling Thoroughfares and Public Places Local Law 2009 currently includes provisions relating to shopping trolleys. The proposed local law amends the City of Stirling Thoroughfares and Public Places Local Law 2009, by revoking the provisions related to shopping trolleys.

Community sentiment around the management of abandoned shopping trolleys is increasing and having a separate local law to regulate shopping trolleys would be more appropriate. It demonstrates the City's commitment to managing shopping trolleys and encouraging compliance by retailers to address community expectations.

The drafting of the proposed City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025 has been completed, guided by the following themes:

- Consideration to regulate the removal of shopping trolleys from shopping centres.
- Provisions to allow the City to efficiently action abandoned shopping trolleys, that are in the incorrect location.
- Provide appropriate measures for the containment of shopping trolleys within shopping centres.
- Consideration of appropriate range of penalties for non-compliance.

Proposed Local laws are made in accordance with section 3.12 of the *Local Government Act 1995*. To progress the proposed local law, the City is required to:

- Give local public notice stating:
 - a) It proposes to make the City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025;
 - b) A summary of its purpose and effect; and
 - c) Where and when a copy of the proposed local law can be inspected.
- As soon as the notice is given, provide the Minister for Local Government with a copy of the proposed local law.
- Provide a copy of the proposed local law to any person requesting it. Local public notice is given in accordance with section 1.7 of the *Local Government Act 1995*, and clause 3A of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996.

Financial Assessment and Implications

The City will incur costs in advertising the review and engaging with the community. These costs are provided for within the 2024/2025 Annual Budget.

Stakeholder Engagement

If Council proceeds with the recommendation contained in this report, local public notice will be given summarising the draft Local Law, and submissions from members of the public may be made. Advertising will occur following the Christmas and New Year's holiday period. Consultation will continue for a minimum of six weeks. A copy of the draft Local Law will be provided to any person who requests it and will be made available on the City's Shaping Your City page. The comments will be presented to Council for consideration at a future meeting.

Recommended Action

It is recommended that Council endorses the proposed City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025 for advertising and public comment. The results of the advertising will be presented to Council for further consideration before final adoption.

Relevant Policies, Legislation and Council Resolutions

[Local Government Act 1995](#)

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
30 May 2023	0523/046	- That Council CONSIDERS amending the current local laws regulating shopping trolleys, during the local law making process for the proposed City of Stirling Streets and Public Places Local Law, when they are presented to Council following implementation of the relevant Local Government Act reforms. - That Council NOTES the City's Community Safety Team will undertake targeted enforcement of retail shopping areas and public spaces where the abandonment of shopping trolleys is problematic.
25 June 2024	0624/018	- That Council RECEIVES the outcomes of public consultation on the below local laws: - City of Stirling Beekeeping Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Dogs Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Fencing Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Health Local Law 2009; and - City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999. - That Council SUPPORTS the amendment of the below local laws: - City of Stirling Beekeeping Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Dogs Local Law 2008; - City of Stirling Fencing Local Law 2008; and - City of Stirling Keeping and Control of Cats Local Law 1999.

Meeting Date	Council Resolution Number	Council Resolution
		3. That Council PROGRESSES the legislative local law-making process for the City of Stirling Parking Amendment Local Law and the proposed City of Stirling Streets and Public Places Local Law. 4. That Council APPROVES for the City to commence the legislative local law-making process for a new City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law. 5. That Council NOTES the progress in drafting the proposed Protection of Large Trees Local Law.

Sustainable Stirling 2022-2032

Key Result Area: Our leadership

Objective: A well-governed City

Priority: Comply with legislation, standards and obligations

Strategic Risk

Strategic Risk	Risk Appetite
Governance	The City will act with integrity and implement appropriate processes and controls to avoid breach of legislation.

Relevant Documents and Information

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Proposed Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025 [↓](#)

Available for viewing at meeting

Nil

Linked Documents

Nil.

City of Stirling

SHOPPING TROLLEYS LOCAL LAW 2025

DRAFT

Local Government Act 1995

City of Stirling

Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025

CONTENTS

Part 1 - Preliminary	1
1.1 Citation	1
1.2 Commencement	1
1.3 Amendments	1
1.4 Application and intent	1
1.5 Interpretation	2
Part 2 – Local Law	3
1.6 Shopping trolley to be marked.....	3
1.7 Shopping trolleys must be kept in shopping precincts	3
1.8 Person not to leave trolley in public place.....	3
1.9 Person not to leave trolley on private property.....	3
1.10 Retailer to remove abandoned trolley.....	3
1.11 Retailer taken to own trolley	3
1.12 Impounding shopping trolleys.....	3
1.13 Contravention That May Lead To Impounding.....	3
Part 3 – Enforcement	4
1.14 Offences.....	4
1.15 Penalties.....	4

Local Government Act 1995

City of Stirling

Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2024

Under the powers conferred by the *Local Government Act 1995* and under all other powers enabling it, the Council of the City of Stirling resolved on [insert date] to make the following local law.

Part 1 - Preliminary**1.1 Citation**

- (1) This local law is the *City of Stirling Shopping Trolleys Local Law 2025*.
- (2) This local law is referred to as “the” or “this” “Local Law.”

1.2 Commencement

This local law commences on the 14th day after the day on which it is published in the *Government Gazette*.

1.3 Amendments

This local law amends the following local law:

- (1) The *City of Stirling Throughfares and Public Places Local Law 2009* published in the *Government Gazette* on 9 April 2009 and as amended and published in the *Government Gazette* on 15 April 2011 and 23 August 2013. The amendments are as follows:
 - (a) Part 4 - Division 2 – Shopping Trolleys is deleted.
 - (b) The words ‘or shopping trolleys’ are deleted from the heading of Part 4.
 - (c) Clauses 4.6 and 4.7(2) are deleted from Schedule 1.

1.4 Application and intent

- (1) This Local Law applies to all land in the local government.
- (2) This Local Law intends to:
 - (a) regulate the removal of shopping trolleys from shopping precincts;
 - (b) require retailers providing shopping trolleys to ensure their prompt collection and return;

- (c) provide the local government with powers to impound shopping trolleys that are left in contravention of this local law; and
- (d) create offences and penalties for breaches of this Local Law.

1.5 Interpretation

- (1) In this Local Law, unless the context requires otherwise, all words and phrases have the same meaning as defined in the *Local Government Act 1995* or any regulations adopted under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

- (2) In this Local Law, unless the context requires otherwise –

“Area Set Aside for the Storage of Shopping Trolleys” means any area that is clearly marked and used for the storage of shopping trolleys with the prior consent of the owner and occupier of the land on which it is located.

“City Property” means any land that is:

- (a) under the exclusive possession of the local government;
- (b) owned by the local government; or
- (c) is under the care, control or management of the local government.

“Contravention That May Lead to Impounding” has the meaning given in clause 1.13.

“Local Government” means the City of Stirling;

“private property” means any land that is not a public place;

“retailer” means a proprietor of a shop in respect of which shopping trolleys are provided for the use of customers of the shop;

“shopping precinct”:

- (a) means the entire area of land comprising one or more contiguous parcels of land on which one or more retailers who use shopping trolleys are located; and
- (b) includes any common property within the meaning of the *Strata Titles Act*; but
- (c) does not include any City property, unless the City has granted prior written approval for the City property to form part of the shopping precinct.

“trolley” or **“shopping trolley”** means a wheeled container or receptacle supplied by a retailer to enable a person to transport goods.

Part 2 – Local Law

1.6 Shopping trolley to be marked

A retailer must clearly mark its name or trading name on any shopping trolley made available for the use of customers.

1.7 Shopping trolleys must be kept in shopping precincts

- (1) A retailer must implement reasonable practices to keep shopping trolleys within the shopping precinct within which the retailer is located.
- (2) A retailer must not permit a shopping trolley to leave the shopping precinct within which the retailer is located.

1.8 Person not to leave trolley in public place

A person must not leave a shopping trolley in a public place or on City property other than in an area set aside for the storage of shopping trolleys.

1.9 Person not to leave trolley on private property

A person must not leave a shopping trolley on:

- (1) private property, without the prior consent of the owner or occupier of the private property; or
- (2) common property within the meaning of the *Strata Titles Act*, without the prior written consent of the strata company.

1.10 Retailer to remove abandoned trolley

- (1) If a shopping trolley is found on private property, in a public place or on City property, in contravention of clause 1.7(2), clause 1.8 or clause 1.9, the City may advise a retailer, verbally or in writing, whose name is marked on the trolley of the location of the shopping trolley.
- (2) A retailer must remove a shopping trolley within 3 hours of being so advised under subclause (1).

1.11 Retailer taken to own trolley

In the absence of any proof to the contrary, a shopping trolley is to be taken to belong to a retailer whose name is marked on the trolley.

1.12 Impounding shopping trolleys

An authorised person may impound a shopping trolley that is involved in a Contravention That May Lead To Impounding.

1.13 Contravention That May Lead To Impounding

A shopping trolley is involved in a Contravention That May Lead To Impounding if it is left:

- (1) in a public place or on City property and is not marked in accordance with clause 1.6;
- (2) in a public place, or on City property, and is causing an obstruction or hazard;
- (3) outside the shopping precinct within which the retailer is located;
- (4) on City property, without the prior written consent of the City;
- (5) on private property, in a public place or on City property after advice has been given under clause 1.10 and the period of time given under clause 1.10 has expired;
- (6) on private property, without the prior written consent of the owner or occupier of that private property;
- (7) on common property as defined in the *Strata Titles Act*, without the prior written consent of the strata company; or
- (8) in or on:
 - (a) a waterway, lake, river or pond;
 - (b) a natural area;
 - (c) a beach or ocean;
 - (d) a vehicle or boat; or
 - (e) a structure, other than an area set aside for the storage of shopping trolleys.

Part 3 – Enforcement

1.14 Offences

A person who breaches a provision of this Local Law commits an offence.

1.15 Penalties

- (1) A person who commits an offence is liable to a modified penalty that is the maximum modified penalty allowed under the *Local Government Act 1995*.
- (2) A person who commits an offence is liable to a penalty that is the maximum penalty allowed under the *Local Government Act 1995*.
- (3) A person who commits an offence is liable to a daily penalty that is the maximum daily penalty allowed under the *Local Government Act 1995*.

Dated [insert]

The Common Seal of the City of Stirling)
was affixed by authority of a resolution)
of the Council in the presence of:)

.....
MARK IRWIN
MAYOR

.....
STEVAN RODIC
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

DRAFT

8. MEMORANDUM OF OUTSTANDING BUSINESS

#	Item	Item Presented	Responsible Officer	Future Actions
1.	Eastside Groundwater Licence Agreement	30 April 2024	Manager Parks and Environment	It is anticipated that the City will provide an interim report on potential alternative water supplies in November 2024, and a final Groundwater Reduction Plan would be presented in February 2025.
2.	Proposed Notice of Motion - Councillor Lisa Thornton - Relocation of Cedric Street Wetland	29 August 2023	Manager Parks and Environment	There are still significant information gaps in relation to Ministerial Statement 522 outcomes. The City is in the process of engaging a suitably experienced consultancy to undertake a detailed analysis of the history of actions undertaken in association with the Cedric Street Wetland development. Any outstanding matters the City needs to address, including recommendations, will be advised. A report will be presented to a Community and Resources Committee Meeting in mid-2025.

#	Item	Item Presented	Responsible Officer	Future Actions
3.	Proposed Notice of Motion - Councillor Lisa Thornton - Climate Change Adaptation Plan Review	29 August 2023	Manager Parks and Environment	A report undertaking a review and gap analysis with recommendations for improvement is to be presented to the Community and Resources Committee meeting to be held February 2025.
4.	Scarborough Ground Light Installation	13 February 2024	Director Community Development	A policy to inform management and use of the new clocktower lighting has been finalised and released for Elected Member and City officer review. A report will be presented to the Community and Resources Committee meeting to be held 28 January 2025.
5.	Local Connector Road Between Pearson Street and Empire Avenue, Churchlands	28 May 2024	Manager Engineering Services	The City has written to the Member for Churchlands, the Minister for Transport, and Main Roads WA seeking support to fully fund the design of a local connector road. The Minister has advised that prior to any design work, an amendment would be required to remove the Primary Regional Road Reserve in the Metropolitan Region Scheme. This will require Main Roads WA to undertake a Road Network Review, which will be undertaken this year.

#	Item	Item Presented	Responsible Officer	Future Actions
				A report will be prepared at an appropriate stage in the future after the Road Network Review has been completed.
6.	Proposed Notice of Motion - Councillor David Lagan - Variable Speed Zone on Beaufort Street, Inglewood	13 August 2024	Manager Engineering Services	Following an Elected Member workshop, a report on this matter will be presented to a subsequent Community and Resources Committee meeting in early 2025.
7.	Proposed Notice of Motion - Councillor Suzanne Migdale - Hydro-Zoning of City Parks	13 August 2024	Manager Parks and Environment	A report is due to be presented to the Community and Resources Committee in February 2025.
8.	Road Safety - Intersection of Green Street, Tyler Street and Merredin Street, Joondanna	17 September 2024	Manager Engineering Services	The Roundabout at Scarborough Beach Road and Green Street will not likely be completed for another one to two years. A new report will be prepared at an appropriate stage in the future after the roundabout has been completed, and further assessment of the intersection (of Green Street and Tyler Street) can be undertaken.

#	Item	Item Presented	Responsible Officer	Future Actions
9.	Urban Forest Canopy Target: Challenges and exploring opportunities	17 September 2024	Manager Parks and Environment	It is anticipated that a report will be presented to the Community and Resources Committee meeting to be held by May 2025.
10.	Proposed Notice of Motion - Councillor Joe Ferrante - Intersection Improvements - Wordsworth Avenue and Alexander Drive, Yokine	15 October 2024	Manager Engineering Services	A report is due to be presented to the Community and Resources Committee in early 2025.

9. CLOSURE

The Presiding Member declared the meeting closed at 8.10pm.

These minutes were confirmed as a true and correct record of proceedings on:

...../...../ 2024

SIGNED:

Presiding Member Name:

PRESIDING MEMBER